

Discovery: Reduction in photosynthesis correlation to carbon dioxide increase.

Dave White CH. E. is with Climate Change Truth Inc.

ABSTRACT

The Atmospheric CO₂ rise since 1957 is not caused by emissions. They correlate to a value of 363 ppm. This is why the minimum residence time has increased to 400 years. The correct cause is deforestation of the Amazon Rain-Forest (0.99). Since 1950 the Amazon Rain-forest has been deforested. An average of 12 million hectare per year. This deforestation causes a minimum of 30% of the biomass to be burned. The burning of the biomass is adding billion of tons of carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. The carbon dioxide has overwhelmed the rain-forest and caused massive decay. The rain-forest has now become an oxygen sink and carbon dioxide producer. To solve these issues the deforestation and burning needs to stop. Then after 10 years the burning can continue 10% a year for 10 years. This will heal the amazon and bring down atmospheric carbon dioxide. After a few years the global carbon dioxide will start to decrease. After 10 years the rain-forest will be healed. However minus 860 million hectares.

Keywords: carbon dioxide increase, carbon dioxide scavenging , Climate Change, rain-forest

Significance Statement

Atmospheric CO₂ has two possible issues. CO₂ emissions are one. We have worked on that and have a 15% drop so far. However the atmospheric CO₂ concentration and residence time are still increasing. The atmospheric tank model is just like a kitchen sink. When the water rises and stays in longer, we know the drain is plugged. That drain is photosynthesis. We can never bring down Atmospheric carbon dioxide by working on emissions alone. We need to put even

more effort into increased photosynthesis. This will reduce atmospheric carbon dioxide to 330 ppm by year 2031 to 2040.

DATA

For the past few decades Carbon dioxide emissions have been shown to be the cause for atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration increase. Many papers have been written about the correlation shown in Graph 1 below. This was the only accepted correlation available and it has been used extensively in papers shown at conferences and published in journals. Papers have also shown the oceans to be a sink for carbon dioxide. The other sources of sinks for carbon dioxide are the rain forests and other plants for carbon dioxide scavenging. I look at the carbon dioxide issue as a production issue. The producers are the carbon dioxide emissions and the consumers are the plants and diffusion. We have effectively reduced the emissions at the same time we have crippled the consumption. One hectare of rainforest removes up to 105 tons of carbon dioxide [1]. We have transformed 860 million hectares so far with over 12.3 million hectares per each year on average. At 410 ppm, we have 3501 moles of carbon dioxide in the troposphere. This is 0.154 metric tons. This will be consumed if we added hectares to the rainforest instead of subtracting. The older trees and shrubs are dying off because they are over worked with the increase in carbon dioxide from local burning [2].

This massive decay has caused the rainforest to change to an oxygen sink to take care of all the decay. EPA: "Carbon dioxide enters the atmosphere through burning fossil fuels (coal, natural gas, and oil), solid waste, trees and wood products, and because of certain chemical reactions (e.g., manufacture of cement). Carbon dioxide is removed from the atmosphere (or "sequestered") when it is absorbed by plants as part of the biological

carbon cycle[3].” Currently the global carbon dioxide emissions are decreasing. Graph 3 shows this. I cannot find any published plausible reason why the carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere is still increasing while the emissions are decreasing.

In late spring, early summer 2017, I was looking at how the carbon emissions were decreasing with all the money spent on it [10]. Figure 3 shows the decreasing carbon dioxide emissions. Then the news came out the carbon dioxide concentration changed from 405ppm to 410ppm at the Mauna Loa Observatory [4]. I then looked at many other causes of carbon dioxide increase and found the best one is the destruction of the rain forest. I could not find any other reports on the destruction of the rain forest to carbon dioxide increase except in the carbon dioxide emission calculations[5]. Carbon dioxide concentrations involve considerably more than fossil fuel emissions, which is what is generally reported. Changes in forest cover and other land uses also play a role. It's a very dynamic system, and the year-to-year change depends upon temperatures, ocean and atmospheric transport, and the amount and location of emissions. So, one would not expect the atmospheric concentrations to change in exact proportion to changes in industrial emissions. The Rain-forest deforestation started in 1950 and continues today.


Greenhouse gases like all gases diffuse until they are equi-distant to each other at the pressure and temperature they are at. At STP (Standard Temperature and Pressure, 25C, 1 Atmosphere), Carbon dioxide in air has a diffusion coefficient of 16 mm²/s, and in water its diffusion coefficient is 0.0016 mm²/s. It is 10000 times more likely to diffuse in Air than ocean [6]. The diffusion length in air is only 2 cm per month. The Ocean-Air interface diffusion is 14.8 cm per day in the direction of the atmosphere. This NASA paper describes the ocean interaction and states for

molecular diffusion the concentration in the ocean is 50 times more than the atmosphere concentration. It does not mention ocean spray as any source. The driving force for diffusion is much greater in the direction of the exosphere where the concentration is around 25 ppm. Carbon dioxide that goes into the ocean is from ocean spray. Any disturbance of ocean surface like hurricanes will allow carbon dioxide to enter the ocean. This is the same principle we chemical engineers use when designing an Industrial exhaust scrubber. Over half of the ocean's surface is at STP at any time. Carbon dioxide does not freeze in the upper atmosphere either. The freezing point in the mesosphere at .14 ATM (Atmosphere) pressure is -100C and the temperature in the mesosphere is -90C. The half-life of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is 5 to 400 years [7]. Carbon Dioxide just sits in the atmosphere diffusing from the surface to the exosphere, being carried by atmospheric winds and waiting to be scavenged. With less photosynthesis it is easy to see why the carbon dioxide level is increasing. The more alarming issue is the loss of oxygen production. The oceans provide most oxygen to the air from plants living on the surface. The concentration of oxygen in the ocean is much less than the concentration in the troposphere so it does not diffuse out of the ocean itself. Photosynthesis is a process by which a plant takes in carbon dioxide and makes more plant with the Carbon. Then exhales the O₂ for us to breathe. This process is called oxygenic photosynthesis. $\text{carbon dioxide} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{photons} \rightarrow [\text{CH}_2\text{O}] + \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$. I contacted a researcher in South America and he supplied me with the acreage numbers in this report. Figure 1 below shows the correlation coefficient calculation method I will use. This is "Pearson's Correlation". The better value is the one closest to 1.0. The data for Rain Forest devastation vs. Carbon dioxide increase from online government data as much as possible. This data supplied by the researcher at Mongabay. Mongabay has been researching


rain forest loss since 1999. No other complete year by year data for rain forest devastation is available. The other data is from the well-known graph of Carbon dioxide and carbon emissions [8]. This is the best data on carbon dioxide emissions as a cause of carbon dioxide concentration increase. The raw data was used from each Graph 1 and 2.

$$r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}$$

Figure 1
Pearsons regression formula.



Graph 1



Graph 2

Million Hectares Rain Forest devastation

I plotted the carbon dioxide concentration and Rain Forest devastation (rf dev) at 5%-time intervals. Then calculated each part of the formula. The correlation coefficient obtained is 0.99. The Hectare value is used because each hectare of rainforest contains the same amount of carbon dioxide scavenging plants. Then using the same method, I calculated for Carbon dioxide emissions and Carbon dioxide concentration increase. The correlation coefficient obtained is 0.72.

The data for Graph 2 came from a scholar in South America [9].

1 Hectare= 2.47 acres=ha

1950-1979

Tropics: 318M ha

Temperate: 18M ha

1980-1995

Tropics: 220M ha


Temperate: 6M ha

226M ha total or 15.1M ha/year

In 2011, tropical forest loss at 11.33M ha/year in the 1990s and 9.34M ha/year in the 2000s). It had global forest loss at 16M ha/year in the 1990s and 13M ha/year in the 2000s.


Further evidence that carbon dioxide emissions do not correlate to the current carbon dioxide increase is below. The carbon dioxide concentration is on the rise at Mauna Loa Observatory [8] even though the carbon dioxide emissions [10] are decreasing overall. Only China is still flat and has not decreased yet. India is increasing. The atmospheric concentration is very dynamic, and we would see a decrease in concentration if carbon emissions were the main cause of the rise since 1950. The emissions data does have a reported 3 billion tons from deforestation built in it.

Mauna Loa data proves it's the rain forest.
 Graph 4 shows two regimes. Pre-1950 increase is one slope and post 1950 is a greater slope.
 Taking the pre-1950 slope to current year the value of 363 ppm is seen. Then using regression, I received at 0.98 correlation. This shows the rainforest burning effect is around 60 ppm.
 Graph 5 from Mauna Loa data shows the carbon dioxide from whatever source is evenly distributed in the atmosphere. On average, the deforestation is 12.2 million hectares with 10 million wood debris piles to burn per year.




Graph 4

Mauna Loa carbon dioxide yearly averages



Graph 3 Global carbon dioxide emissions



Graph 5

World atmospheric carbon dioxide by latitude.

Calculating the rain-forest carbon emission.

The CO₂ increase can be seen in Graph 4 from Mauna Loa with two distinct regimes. The industrial part (pre 1950) shows without the deforestation of the rainforest the current carbon dioxide concentration would be 340 to 360 ppm. The deforestation started in 1950 and shortly after we see the current regime rise. The current regime includes carbon dioxide emissions plus rain forest burning. If we extend the industrial line and calculate the regression, we get a correlation coefficient of 0.98. Without the rain forest component, we would have a ppm reading of around 363 ppm today.

At 3 billion tons per year [13], the deforestation contribution of the 38 billion total is 12.6%. The IPCC recognizes this impact. Anthropogenic forest degradation and biomass burning (forest fires and agricultural burning) also represent relevant contributions. Annual GHG emissions from agricultural production in 2000–2010 were estimated at 5.0–5.8 GtCO₂eq/yr [13]. When we stop the deforestation, and burning, the rain forest will heal, and the concentration of carbon dioxide will decrease.

CONCLUSION

This report has shown a much stronger correlation of carbon dioxide scavenging loss to the observed increase in carbon dioxide. (0.99 vs. 0.72) As hectare upon hectare of rain forest are destroyed this increases world carbon dioxide dramatically.

This is the main reason the carbon dioxide is higher now than in 1950. We need to stop the burning and deforestation of the rainforest. In addition, we need to plant native shrubs and trees all over the world.

The good news is a 30000 hectares of rain forest will be reforested by 2030 [11]. The Paris agreement with Brazil is for 12 million more Hectare's to be reforested. This is good but still not enough.

Over \$2 billion have been spent in the last ten years trying to bring down the carbon dioxide by reducing emissions. While it is good to bring down carbon emissions this alone will never work to bring down the carbon dioxide level.

Stopping the deforestation is one step. Also the burning has to stop and then after 10 years the burning start with a maximum of 10% a year.

It will take nearly 10 years to heal the rain-forest and resume 105 tons per hectare scavenging.

The second thing that needs to happen is plant 100 million native trees and shrubs all over the world. This will start to bring down the atmospheric carbon dioxide in a few years.

Certainly, if the time and money was spent stopping the deforestation of the rain forest and planting native shrubs and trees the carbon dioxide level would start to retreat soon.

References

- [1]<http://www.growingairfoundation.org/facts/>, 2017
- [2]<http://www.sciencemag.org/news/2015/03/amazon-rainforest-ability-soak-carbon-dioxide-falling>, 2017
- [3]<https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/overview-greenhouse-gases>
- [4] Mauna Loa Observatory <https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/obop/mlo/>, 2017.
- [5]<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/deforestation-and-global-warming/>, 2017
- [6] WWW, 1984 Welty Wicks and Wilson, Fundamentals of Momentum Heat and Mass transfer.
- [7] IPCC Working Group, 2017 <https://www.princeton.edu/~lam/TauL1b.pdf>
- [8] Human carbon dioxide emissions (blue, left y-axis, Source: IEA) vs. atmospheric CO₂ concentration (red, right y-axis, Source: [Mauna Loa record](#))
- [9] South American researcher <https://news.mongabay.com/2011/02/forest-loss-slows-as-un-marks-international-year-of-forests/>
- [10] <http://globalcarbonatlas.org/en/CO2-emissions>
- [11] <https://www.ecowatch.com/amazon-reforestation-brazil-2504950622.html>
- [12] <https://www.ucsusa.org/global->

warming/solutions/stop-deforestation/deforestation-global-warming-carbon-emissions.html#.WIPQQt-nFEY
[13] http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar5/wg3/ipcc_wg3_ar5_chapter11.pdf

Conflict of Interest statement.

I have no conflict of interest that I am aware of.

Biography

David (Dave) White

Dave is a Chemical Engineer currently working on Climate Change. He has 30 years' experience since graduation in 1984.

Promoting responsibility to environment and health of all species.

Dave White graduated in Chemical Engineering in 1984. During the time at Oregon State University Dave worked on a cross flow counter current scrubber for coal fired power plants. Then he moved to Hillsboro with his wife and worked in Semiconductors. In 2006, Dave along with Dr. Tom Wallow produced a paper on ArF double patterning for semiconductors. This multi-patterning scheme is widely used in today's semiconductor manufacturing plants. In 2011, Dave started a consulting business for Semiconductors. In 2017, Dave Started Climate Change Truth Inc. Dave is seeking the truth about climate change. His research interests are evaporation from the ocean, rain forest destruction effects and diffusion of CO₂ through the atmosphere.