

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

David White, Pro Se.
dave@salmonprotectiondevice.com

Case
\$52 Billion COMPLAINT
FOR DECLARATORY
JUDGEMENT, AND
DAMAGES JURY TRIAL
REQUESTED

Plaintiff

v.

Jessica Vega Pederson Et Al
in her personal capacity
mult.chair@multco.us
503-988-3308

Parties

Sharon Meieran in her personal
capacity and her official capacity
as Commissioner, District 1,
Multnomah County Oregon
district1@multco.us
503-988-5220

Jesse Beason in his personal
capacity and his official capacity of
Commissioner, District 2
Multnomah County Oregon
district2@multco.us
503-988-5219

Julia Brim-Edwards in her
personal capacity and her official
capacity of Commissioner, District
3, Multnomah County Oregon
district4@multco.us
503-988-5217

Lori Stegmann in her personal
capacity and her official capacity
of Commissioner, District 4,
Multnomah County Oregon
district4@multco.us
503-988-5213

Defendants.

Legal Counsel for Defendants

Jenny M. Madkour, County Attorney

Class action members will be revealed at Court Hearing
date to prevent harassment by 15)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

- 1) Law 117 - 58 - Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, Executive
Order 13990 86 Fed. Reg. 7037 Section 40434a; relating to
protecting public health and the environment and restoring science to
tackle the climate crisis. However, no climate crisis exists.

Federal Case Law

2) Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002): Pagtalunan

was Pro Se and made numerous mistakes in filing his complaint resulting in the case being dismissed. However, upon appeal, the higher Court ruled that the lower Court was in error because they did not give allowance for Pagtalunan's lack of legal training.

3) WEST VIRGINIA ET AL. v. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ET AL. <https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/news/features/the-supreme-court-curbed-epas-power-to-regulate-carbon-emissions-from-power-plants-what-comes-next/>

The Clean Air Act of 1967 directed the EPA to tackle issues like Acid Rain and other environmental dangers. The Act instructs the EPA to make a "toxic chemicals" list. Anything the EPA wants to regulate must be on that list, Section 111, subsection D. In 2015, the EPA illegally began to regulate "greenhouse gases" without including them on the toxic chemicals list as prescribed by The Clean Air Act. Carbon dioxide and Methane, to name a few, are not toxic chemicals. In fact, every living animal and human being on earth breathes out carbon dioxide. It's not a toxic chemical.

4) Rule 56. Summary Judgment

5) 18 U.S.C. § 1001 False Statements, Concealment.

6) 28 U.S.C. §191 Proceedings in forma pauperis.

7) 8 U.S. Code § 1324c - Penalties for document fraud.

8) Rule 5. Serving and Filing Pleadings and Other Papers.

9) Rule 11. Signing Pleadings,

10) Rule 21 Writ of mandamus.

11) 28 U.S. Code § 455 (b), (1) which says Where he (The Judge) has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding;

12) 18 U.S.C. 4 says, "Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both."

13) 28 U.S. Code § 455 (b), (1)- Disqualification of justice, judge, or magistrate judge. In this case obstruction of justice by unnecessary delay of Proceedings in Forma Pauperis.

14) Judges Code of Conduct, Canons 2 and 3, which require officers of the Court to refrain from even the appearance of judicial bias or impropriety. <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>,

15) 29 CFR § 1606.8 (1) – Harassment Has the purpose or effect of creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive working environment.

16) 22–451 June 28th, 2024 Loper Bright Enterprises v. Raimondo and Relentless, Inc. v. Department of Commerce.

https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/23pdf/22-451_7m58.pdf

17) Clearly there is a new sheriff in town, when the Attorney General (AG) recently stated, "This should serve as a reality check. We could not believe that a judge actually did that. The word deranged is all I can think of. I think some of these judges think that they are beyond and above the law — and they are not! Both of these cases should go to show that no one is beyond the law."

1
2
3
4

INTRODUCTION

5 Defendants filed a frivolous complaint against Fossil Fuel
6 Companies for \$50 billion based on untruthful statements of the
7 Media, UN and IPCC. Recently Defendants enjoined Portland
8 General Electric (PGE) and Northwest Natural Gas (NNG) to the
9 complaint as co-defendants. Fossil fuel companies wont defend
10 themselves because of lying media bias. When the defendants
11 prevail, gas prices will rise overnight to \$10 a gallon. PGE and NNG
12 will ask for a 400% increase to the crooked Oregon PUC and
13 receive approval. This severe damage to the regions economy is the
14 claim staked by class action members and plaintiffs. This will be like
15 Robin Hood. We will take the money from Defendants and pay it to
16 registered class action members who signed up on the lawsuit page
17 of cctruth.org.

18 Plaintiff presents this Complaint requesting that the
19 Federal Court convene as an article III, US Constitution Court, in
20 which priority and emphasis is given to Constitutional law,
21 unhindered by administrative procedure. U.S. Supreme court
22 ruling 19) on June 28, 2024 in Loper Bright Enterprises v.
23 Raimondo and Relentless, Inc. v. Department of Commerce that all

1 courts shall no longer function as administrative law courts. They
2 must convene as Article III of the U.S. Constitution Courts. This
3 ruling is retroactive because it is merely reaffirming the original intent
4 of the Constitution of the United States.

5 <https://thelawisyourattorney.com/loper-bright-enterprises/>

6 Anything else is a violation of 2), 11), 12), 13), 14), and 23) above.

7
8 Plaintiff is advised by a team of 3 professionals, also volunteering, pro
9 bono. One is a 40-year retired, Federal Attorney, expert in the application
10 of Federal and Case law, environmental law in particular. Another is an
11 investigative journalist, providing legal research and serving as Legal
12 Editor for all Court Documents. This team currently has three docket cases
13 before the Ninth Circuit Court to correct illegal administrative law rulings
14 and remove four Federal judges who made the Unconstitutional rulings.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21


22 Docket # 24-5735, 24-5275, 24-5811 has 7 Judicial Council of the Ninth

23
24 Circuit COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT forms filled out and
25
26 mailed to the 9th Circuit address and they will take this very seriously to
27

1 remove five Federal Judges for illegal administrative law. Plaintiff leads a
 2 team of 35 Professors at Universities who participate in the Expert and
 3 Government Review of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
 4 Reports for the Global Change Group of the National Academy of
 5 Sciences. Their reports are deliberate science fiction. As an example,
 6 when Jim Skea the liar said we need to lower carbon dioxide by 45% by
 7 2030 he was basing that statement on a paragraph buried on page 95 in
 8 the SR 1.5 report. That paragraph has no references. They made it up. We
 9 forced them to move it to page 6 of the AR6 report paragraph b.1.3. Also
 10 for working group 1 for SR 1.5 we found the Global Warming Potential
 11 model was fake. It wasn't benchmarked with the data in annex two
 12 (appendix two). Also their fake model assumes equal concentrations of
 13 greenhouse gases which will never happen carbon dioxide is 219 times
 14 more concentrated than methane. Also they ignore the below curve taught in
 15 every college chemistry and physics classes since the 1940's. Any Bill
 16 which brings in money for climate change that money must be paid the
 17 Climate Change Truth Inc. cctruth.org

Plank Schwarzschild Curve

Thermal radiation to space from the Earth, with a surface temperature of 15.5 C and with greenhouse gases is the area under the jagged black "Schwarzschild" curve. This is only about 70% of what it would be without greenhouse gases, the area under the smooth blue "Planck" curve. The Sun heats the Earth and greenhouse gases hinder the cooling. H2O water vapor is the 89% effect greenhouse gas followed by CO2 carbon dioxide is 8.9% greenhouse effect and methane CH4 is 0.3% effective Greenhouse Gas.



Max Planck
1858-1947



Karl Schwarzschild
1873-1916

Cause of Action / Claim For Relief

This Complaint has several legally recognizable claims. These show with clear and convincing evidence that Plaintiff is harmed by Defendants' actions. The severe damage to the regions economy is the claim staked by class action members and plaintiffs.

The items below justify the rulings for relief requested in the

Prayer for Relief section, per Federal

Rule 8 a 1-3. These five points provide the background context in which

our 3 Causes of Action and 5 Prayers for Relief are based:

1. Plaintiff resides with a Portland Oregon address within the tri-county area of Oregon. The \$51.5 billion frivolous lawsuit Multnomah County filed against the fossil fuel companies will raise energy prices in the tri-county area unbearably for no reason.

2. The news media has been untruthful about climate change for forty years.

3. Defendants believe the media who is controlled by 9 of the richest people in the world.

4. Nucor was developed at Oregon State as a small nuclear power plant that puts out 30 megawatt at all times to power up to several hundred homes for cheap power. It has only 18% of the waste as the older, first-generation nuclear power plants and is much safer. It is imperative that Oregon State University install a Nucor unit to mitigate pending rolling blackouts this Fall, due to EV's. This is directly related to the Environmental Science Department, which focuses on human interaction with the environment, especially in matters of energy policy due to the alleged Climate Crisis.

5. Plaintiff leads a team of thirty-five professors at Universities who participate in Expert and Government Review of the Intergovernmental Panel On Climate Change Reports which are Deliberate Science fiction

Claims for Relief

Breach of Contract:

Defendants are in breach of Contract by violation of all 4 elements of a Cause of Action required to bring this lawsuit:

1. The existence of a contract between the parties. The contract is contract between county governments and citizens of the county, these are streets, lights much more and Climate Change.
2. Performance (or non-performance with legally tenable justification) by one party, i.e. defendants.
3. Non-performance by the other party or parties (Defendants) without legally tenable justification.
4. Damage caused to the plaintiff due to such non-performance.

Fraudulent Misrepresentation:

According to Cornell Law, “Fraudulent misrepresentation is a tort claim, typically arising in the field of contract law, that occurs when a defendant makes an intentional or reckless misrepresentation of fact or opinion with the intention to coerce a party into action or inaction on the basis of that misrepresentation.” Defendants are guilty of all 6 elements:

1. A representation was made
2. The representation was false
3. That when made, the defendant knew that the representation was false or that the defendant made the statement recklessly without knowledge of its truth
4. That the fraudulent misrepresentation was made with the intention that the plaintiff rely on it
5. That the plaintiff did rely on the fraudulent misrepresentation
6. That the plaintiff suffered harm as a result of the fraudulent misrepresentation

Plaintiff’s book is an Environment Science or Engineering

textbook for college sophomores that addresses the issues of the day. It

focuses on human interaction with the Environment, per the definition of

Environmental Science. The second edition is

soon to be released. The Publisher, Dorrance Publishing, is the oldest

science book publisher in the United States, and Appellant’s book has

1 received their imprimatur.

2
3
4 Thus, it was **3)** impossible for defendants not to know that this was a false
5 representation, as in our Breach of Contract Allegation. From all
6 appearances, Defendants have **4)** have been believing the untruthful
7 media.

8
9 The following information is presented in support of this claim, thus
10
11 demonstrating the need for the informed and balanced perspective that
12
13 Plaintiff represents in the Dorrance-approved Environmental Science
14
15 textbook.

16
17 It is common knowledge that the key figures perpetuating this agenda
18
19 include Bill Gates, Klaus Schwab, George Soros, and now Jane Goodall,
20
21 who are advocating for a drastic reduction in the world's population by
22
23 2030.

24
25 At first blush, this sounds extreme, but it is well-documented by this video
26
27 in particular, which the Court is urged to view before it's taken down.

28
29 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MFV0QVO2T3U> or

30
31 https://cctruth.org/jane_goodall_remove_people.mp4

32
33
34 Bill Gates, Klaus Schwab and George Soros were recently “kicked out” of
35
36 the World Economic Forum (WEF) for saying these things.

Climate change is also about fear mongering. The image below is recycled every year: "Just another 10 years"



It's the same untruthful statement that the United Nations repeats every year with no basis in fact. It is sustained by nothing more than media hype and misrepresentation.

Plaintiff's research has produced the only worldwide manuscript for netzeroco2e presented at Plenary Addresses at Climate Change

conferences around the world. <https://cctruth.org/the-essential-role-of-photosynthesis-in-defining-net-zero-carbon-dioxide-emissions-for-equilibrium-calculations.pdf> cctruth.org

That means CO₂ is back to normal in the Northern Hemisphere, thanks to tree planting efforts of the U.S., China, India, Pakistan, and Peru, all of which Appellant has instructed. Appellant has presented plenary addresses at climate change conferences like the one in Dubai ahead of the sham COP28.



Plaintiff also leads a watchdog team of thirty-five University Professors who participate in Expert and Government Review of the Intergovernmental

1 Panel on Climate Change reports (IPCC). We have also reviewed the
2
3 NOAA and NASA reports and confirmed that they too are virtually all based
4
5 on fallacious base data sets and faulty measurement techniques. We have
6
7 come to be known as the “junk science slayers.”

8
9 Currently Plaintiff’s IPCC team are reviewing the First National Nature
10
11 Assessment's Zero Order Draft for the Global Change Division of the
12
13 National Academy of Science.

14
15 [https://globalchange.gov/our-work/national-nature-](https://globalchange.gov/our-work/national-nature-assessment?utm_source=Newsletter&utm_campaign=943c778f9f-EMAIL_CAMPAIGN_2018_10_26_01_38_COPY_01&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_00fda1a12d-943c778f9f-294028517)
16 [assessment?utm_source=Newsletter&utm_campaign=943c778f9f-](https://globalchange.gov/our-work/national-nature-assessment?utm_source=Newsletter&utm_campaign=943c778f9f-EMAIL_CAMPAIGN_2018_10_26_01_38_COPY_01&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_00fda1a12d-943c778f9f-294028517)
17 [EMAIL_CAMPAIGN_2018_10_26_01_38_COPY_01&utm_medium=email](https://globalchange.gov/our-work/national-nature-assessment?utm_source=Newsletter&utm_campaign=943c778f9f-EMAIL_CAMPAIGN_2018_10_26_01_38_COPY_01&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_00fda1a12d-943c778f9f-294028517)
18 [&utm_term=0_00fda1a12d-943c778f9f-294028517](https://globalchange.gov/our-work/national-nature-assessment?utm_source=Newsletter&utm_campaign=943c778f9f-EMAIL_CAMPAIGN_2018_10_26_01_38_COPY_01&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_00fda1a12d-943c778f9f-294028517)

19
20 As noted above, our College and High School textbooks at cctruth.org are
21
22 published by Dorrance Publishing. Dorrance is the oldest science book
23
24 publishing company in the USA, with a 100-year track record. They refuse
25
26 to publish junk science, such as the superstitious claim that forest fires
27
28 result from alleged climate change.

29
30 We have also published a high school textbook covering the same scope of
31
32 material. The National Science Teachers Association has copies and The
33
34 National Education Association is expecting them to select it for the 2025-
35
36 2026 school year for Sophomores. [https://rosedogbookstore.com/climate-](https://rosedogbookstore.com/climate-crisis-changed-the-intergovernmental-panel-on-climate-change-ipcc-reports-are-deliberate-science-fiction-1/?showHidden=true)
37 [crisis-changed-the-intergovernmental-panel-on-climate-change-ipcc-](https://rosedogbookstore.com/climate-crisis-changed-the-intergovernmental-panel-on-climate-change-ipcc-reports-are-deliberate-science-fiction-1/?showHidden=true)
38 [reports-are-deliberate-science-fiction-1/?showHidden=true](https://rosedogbookstore.com/climate-crisis-changed-the-intergovernmental-panel-on-climate-change-ipcc-reports-are-deliberate-science-fiction-1/?showHidden=true)

39
40 Please review “Then the Arson Fires Will Stop: <https://cctruth.org/wildfire/>


1 What is Environmental Science? - Biology LibreTexts

2

3 Environmental science is the interdisciplinary study of the interaction of
4 living and non-living parts of the environment, with special focus on the
5 impact of humans on the environment. Learn about the reasons,
6 challenges, and indicators of environmental science, and the tragedy of the
7 commons.

8

CLIMATE CRISIS CHANGED



**The Intergovernmental Panel On Climate Change
Reports are Deliberate Science fiction (IPCC).**

Cctruth.org

College Textbook Second Edition

PROF DAVE WHITE


Climate Crisis Changed

Cctruth.org

The Intergovernmental Panel On Climate Change

Reports are Deliberate Science fiction (IPCC).

2nd Edition College Textbook For Environmental Science



The Table of Contents reveals the important topics that distinguish Environmental Science from related, but unique subject components, such as Physics, Biochemistry. Meteorology, Climatology, and more.

Thus, Oregon State is misrepresenting to students the true nature of Environmental Science.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1. Statistical Analysis, The scientific method.

Chapter 2. Carbon Dioxide Equilibrium—NetZeroCO₂E = 8.6 billion tons of photosynthesis left in the world.

Chapter 3. Green House Gases—Methane is much less greenhouse gas. Water vapor is the largest effect.

Chapter 4. Astrophysical Warming—Cooling in the southern hemisphere and warming in the northern where 90% of people live.

Chapter 5. Residence Time of Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide—It takes 150 years for anything we do with emissions of carbon dioxide to have an effect.

Chapter 6. NOAA Mauna Loa Data and Fraud.

Chapter 7. NiCE Fix for Southeast USA Storms—Storms stopped in 2022.

Chapter 8. Global Sea Rise—1.4 mm/yr. linear and not accelerating. No reliability in NOAA Satellites.

Chapter 9. Photosynthesis Issues.

Chapter 10. Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Doesn't Freeze in the Mesosphere.

Chapter 11. NIST and Photosynthesis Experiment—scientific method.

Chapter 12. Ocean is not a Sink for Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide


Chapter 13. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
Reports are Deliberate Science Fiction

Chapter 14. Videos to Watch.

Chapter 15. Predatory Journals are a Fabrication.

Chapter 16 Antarctic Sea ice is Growing

Below is another example, of official fearmongering in reporting on a normal tropical storm that struck the SE coast of the United States on 9/27/2024. Television news coverage showed violent winds blowing trees and hurling debris before the storm even hit landfall.



Storm Helene came from South America.

Unjust Enrichment:

The charge of Unjust Enrichment flows from the other two allegations above. Under the law, a claim for unjust enrichment has three elements:

- 1 1. The defendant received a benefit;
- 2 2. At the plaintiff's expense; and,
- 3 3. Under circumstances that would make it unjust for the defendant to
- 4 retain the benefit without commensurate compensation.

5
6 *See Pulte Home Corp., Inc. v. Countryside Cmty. Ass'n, Inc.*, 2016 CO 64,
7 ¶ 63. Whether a plaintiff is entitled to compensation for unjust enrichment is
8 "a discretionary call for the district court" and requires "extensive factual
9 findings." *Falcon Broadband, Inc. v. Banning Lewis Ranch Metro. Dist. No.*
10 *1*, 2018 COA 92, ¶ 50. Because a claim for unjust enrichment is a mixture
11 of both contract and tort law, courts occasionally treat such claims as tort
12 claims and sometimes as contract claims.

13 As we have demonstrated, by Fraudulent Misrepresentation, Defendants
14 1) received the benefit of selling a Chemical Engineering book under the
15 misleading title of "Environmental Sciences" instead of an actual
16 Environmental Science text. This came at Plaintiff's expense 2) because
17 he was proposing to teach from his textbook as part of his Doctoral
18 Program requirements. It would be an injustice to Plaintiff and the other
19 students for Defendants to retain these benefits this school year, 3) without
20 making free copies of Plaintiff's textbook available to each student also, as
21 a supplementary text before making it the main Textbook next year.


22 Plaintiff's textbook, costs \$89 on ctruth.org and then on Amazon, which
23 means that Plaintiff lost a minimum of $\$89 \times 21 = \1869 due to Defendants'
24 Unjust Enrichment. This is not even counting the loss of their approximate
25 \$100 application fees that 80 of 100 applicants (times 2 years) suffered
26 from Defendants' Fraudulent Misrepresentation (\$200 cost to Plaintiff over
27 2 years \times 100 misled applicants = \$20,000 of Unjust Enrichment.

28 **Argument**

29
30 Science is never settled. Measured data from two independent

31
32 experiments show water vapor is 89% effect Greenhouse gas. Carbon

1
2 dioxide is 8.9% effect and Methane is 0.3% effect. These are the same
3
4 results reported in Annex II in IPCC AR6 WG1 by Plaintiff watchdog team
5
6 of 35 PhD's, mostly college professors performing expert and government
7
8 review of IPCC WG1 FOD. This makes the IPCC global warming model a
9
10 fraud. It assumes equal greenhouse gas concentration for all elements,
11
12 which is impossible. In addition, it was not benchmarked with the data in
13
14 annex II. We submitted our review, and they simply deleted the table from
15
16 annex II to cover their tracks.
17



Even if we double carbon dioxide to 800 ppm the warming will be no different. The red curve inside the black curve is barely distinguishable from each other.

Water vapor is 89.4% ghg effect.
Carbon dioxide is 9.09%
Ozone is 0.88%
Nitrous Oxide is 0.32%
Methane is 0.29%

Defendants operate on a scientific belief system instead of an open mind scientific system. The scientific consensus about Climate Change is only 33% not 97%. See the consensus page on the untruthful climate.nasa.gov. Read what they did. They interviewed only scientists on 330 published

1
2 manuscripts between 2009 and 2012 which were pro the UN false agenda.

3
4 Web search manuscripts against UN agenda from 2009 to 2012. Around

5
6 700 were published. Therefore, the consensus is 33%. Cherry picking is

7
8 not science and is illegal by 26) U.S. Supreme Court on June 28th, 2024 in

9
10 Loper Bright Enterprises v. Raimondo and Relentless, Inc. v. Department of
11
12 Commerce.

13
14
15 Plaintiff asks the Federal District Court to convene this case as an

16
17 Article III, of the U.S. Constitution Court case. Article III Section 2 of the

18
19 U. S. Constitution says “The judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in

20
21 Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution Context: Plaintiff files

22
23 this complaint after the 26) 22–451 U.S. Supreme Court on June 28th,

24
25 2024 in Loper Bright Enterprises v. Raimondo and Relentless, Inc. v.


1 Department of Commerce that all Courts can no longer adjudicate as
2
3 administrative law courts. They must function as Article III, U.S.
4
5 Constitution Courts. This ruling is retroactive because it is merely
6
7 reaffirming the original Constitution of the United States, whose jurisdiction
8
9 was gradually and illegally usurped by lower courts using administrative
10
11 law.

12
13 Therefore, this case must be considered under the Article III section 2 of
14
15 the U.S. Constitution. Our book for Environmental Science second edition
16
17 is almost 200 pages. The Intergovernmental Panel On Climate Change
18
19 Reports are Deliberate
20
21 Science fiction (IPCC).

22
23 Second Edition

24
25 College Textbook for Environmental Science

Also a new book by one of the professors on our IPCC review team called Unsettled shows all the scientific models are junk science because they are not benchmarked with available data.



Plaintiff's research has produced the only worldwide manuscript for netzeroco2e presented at Plenary Addresses at Climate Change conferences around the world. <https://cctruth.org/the-essential-role-of-photosynthesis-in-defining-net-zero-carbon-dioxide-emissions-for-equilibrium-calculations.pdf> cctruth.org

Plaintiff has presented plenary addresses at climate change conferences

like the one in Dubai ahead of the sham COP28.



This is a well-documented fact! The worldwide media is

owned by 9 of the richest people in the world. There are all globalists who are bent on removing people from the earth and enslaving the remainder.

AI is another globalist ploy. Covid is also a globalist ploy. The Covid death
jabs don't work. See science facts on makingsenseofcovid.com. The news
media is the virus! Covid worldwide has a 0.3% death rate. The death jabs

1 have a 1.6% death rate and an 8% strong reaction rate. The only media

2
3 telling this is the Epoch Times. Plaintiff never wore a mask and didn't take

4
5 the jab. Plaintiff has a boosted immune system.

6
7 The following evidence is presented in support of this thesis, based on

8
9 Plaintiff's demonstrated competence and contributions to the current

10
11 debate:

12
13 1. Plaintiff has performed extensive research on climate change, published
14 manuscripts, and presented the plenary address at many Climate Change
15 Conferences.
16
17

18
19 2. Plaintiff also heads up the official watchdog team comprised of 35 PhD

20
21 level climate scientists, (mostly college professors) who perform Expert and
22 Government review of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

23
24 (IPCC) reports for the Global Change Group of the National Academy of
25 Science.
26

27
28 These independent scientists have concluded that the IPCC reports are

1
2 compromised by junk science, with virtually all data cherry-picked to push
3
4 an admitted and oft-stated Globalist agenda of “removing people from the
5
6 earth.”
7

8 3. Plaintiff will invite members of his team to testify for the case and thereby
9
10 establish the standard for “expert witness” to serve on a comparable,
11
12 United Nation’s review panel. These experts are located all over the world
13
14 and most will need to testify by remote access.
15

16 4. Science is never settled. Measured data from two independent
17
18 experiments show that water vapor is 89% effect Greenhouse gas. Carbon
19
20 dioxide is 8.9% effect and Methane is 0.3% effect. These findings are the
21
22 same as reported by IPCC Working Group 1 (WG1), Annex II in IPCC WG1
23
24 FOD for AR6, according to the above-mentioned review team, who
25
26 performed the expert and government review of IPCC WG1 FOD. As
27
28 noted, they concluded that the IPCC global warming model is fraudulent.

29
30 It assumes equal greenhouse gas concentration for all elements, which is
31
32 completely unrealistic. In addition, the data was not benchmarked with the
33
34 data in annex II. When we submitted our watchdog review the IPCC simply
35

1 deleted the table from annex II, rather than do the honest and scientific
2
3 thing by making the necessary changes in their fake model. This is
4
5 sloppy science at best, fraud at worst.

6
7 5. The fact-checking team has also discovered 34 ppm of fraud in the
8
9 carbon dioxide rise reported by NOAA. More than 36 doctoral level
10
11 scientists at NOAA have been dismissed as a result. This is verified by the
12
13 Complaint filed with the Department of Commerce Office of Inspector
14
15 General. https://cctruth.org/NOAA_Mauna.pdf



Thank you.

Your information has been submitted to the DOC OIG.

The OIG will evaluate your complaint and determine an appropriate course of action. Options include opening an OIG review (audit, evaluation, or investigation); referring the matter to a DOC Operating Unit for review and corrective action, if warranted; referring the matter to another Federal agency; or taking no action (allegations that do not involve a potential violation of law, rule, regulation, or policy as well as allegations with limited specificity or merit may be closed with no further action). Due to privacy interests, we do not provide complainants with updates on, or the results of, complaints and/or investigative matters.

If you did not provide contact information and you wish to provide additional information about this complaint matter, please call (800) 424-5197 and provide your tracking number.

Your submission tracking number is: **TMG202402892**

6. Additional qualifications include recent publication of a college textbook for Environmental Science. Weekly emails to more than 600 Professors of environmental science show that some have already adopted it as their text book for the upcoming Fall term. The Second edition is displayed on our cctruth.org and will be published in the October timeframe.

The book is published, taught at a number of universities, and now sold on Amazon.

[Climate Crisis Changed: The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change \(IPCC\) reports are Deliberate Science Fiction: White, Dave: 9798888121276: Amazon.com: Books](#)

It is also available on Barnes and Noble stores and online. [Climate Crisis Changed: The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change \(IPCC\) reports are Deliberate Science Fiction by Dave White, Hardcover | Barnes & Noble® \(barnesandnoble.com\)](#)

To summarize, the current “consensus” on Climate Change is based on a relatively small group of government employed scientists, spouting globalist fear mongering and in their own words, “removing people from the earth.” They are opposed by a much larger group of private sector scientists whose voices go unheard.

The table below, along with other critical information, was presented by a grid expert at an October 18, 2023 Cascade Policy Institute Conference.

Note that for this Winter, 2024-2025 the Northwest electric grid is projected to fall 927 megawatts short of demand. It is projected to be almost nine

times as bad in 10 years.

The grid expert reported that they are talking about activating virtual generators at homes to help make up the difference when needed. For example, a virtual generator is equipped to switch the smart meter on a home which is charging an electrical vehicle at night and drain the EV battery charge back into the grid.

Northwest Region Requirements and Resources

Table 1. Northwest Region Requirements and Resources – Annual Energy shows the sum of the individual utilities' requirements and firm resources for each of the next 10 years. Expected firm load and exports make up the total firm regional requirements.

Average Megawatts	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33
Firm Requirements										
Load ^{1/}	21,814	22,791	23,694	24,558	25,545	26,225	26,485	26,681	26,841	27,006
Exports	520	502	502	501	501	501	501	501	501	501
Total	22,334	23,293	24,195	25,060	26,046	26,726	26,986	27,182	27,342	27,507
Firm Resources										
Hydro ^{2/}	11,459	11,439	11,424	11,462	11,424	11,402	11,200	11,200	11,161	11,005
Small Thermal/Misc.	28	28	28	28	28	18	11	11	11	11
Natural Gas ^{3/}	4,107	4,497	4,801	4,551	4,546	4,544	4,474	4,426	4,225	4,222
Renewables-Other	276	275	273	274	269	268	268	266	264	260
Solar	503	503	503	502	502	501	501	500	498	483
Wind	1,757	1,747	1,747	1,721	1,661	1,623	1,611	1,596	1,596	1,622
Cogeneration	41	41	34	32	31	31	31	31	31	31
Imports	488	488	467	467	453	380	324	310	310	222
Nuclear	1,116	994	1,116	994	1,116	994	1,116	994	1,116	994
Coal	2,583	2,356	1,593	1,065	1,068	891	593	479	497	508
Total	22,357	22,366	21,985	21,096	21,097	20,652	20,127	19,810	19,708	19,357
Surplus (Deficit)	22	(927)	(2,210)	(3,963)	(4,949)	(6,074)	(6,859)	(7,372)	(7,634)	(8,150)


^{1/} Load net of energy efficiency

^{2/} Firm hydro for energy is the generation expected assuming critical (8%) water condition (the methodology is changed for the 2023 report)

^{3/} More energy may be available from natural gas power plants

Diminishing returns on emissions control.

1



2

3

4

Climate Crisis Changed

5

6

The Intergovernmental Panel On Climate Change

7


8

Cctruth.org

Reports are Deliberate Science fiction (IPCC).

College Textbook

2nd Edition



Second Edition

White, Wysmuller, Beers, McMenemie, Nelson

The Table of Contents below is evidence of the broad range of interdisciplinary topics that comprise the subject of Environmental science, in contrast to the current textbook in use, which misleads students by its limited scope.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1. Statistical Analysis, The scientific method.

Chapter 2. Carbon Dioxide Equilibrium—NetZeroCO₂E = 8.6 billion tons of photosynthesis left in the world. This is the only worldwide manuscript published with plenary addresses at Climate Change Conferences. 27 external references!

Chapter 3. Green House Gases—Methane is much less greenhouse gas. Water vapor is largest effect.

Chapter 4. Astrophysical Warming—Cooling in the south and warming in the north where 90% of people live.

Chapter 5. Residence Time of Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide—It takes 150 years for anything we do with emissions of carbon dioxide to have an effect.

Chapter 6. NOAA Mauna Loa Data and Fraud. Thirty 1 ppm of manual adjustments.

Chapter 7. NiCE Fix for Southeast USA Storms—Storms stopped in 2022.

Chapter 8. Global Sea Rise—1.4 mm/yr. linear and not accelerating. No reliability in NOAA Satellites.

Chapter 9. Photosynthesis Issues.

Chapter 10. Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Doesn't Freeze in the Mesosphere.

Chapter 11. NIST and Photosynthesis Experiment—scientific method.

Chapter 12. Ocean is not a Sink for Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide

1 Chapter 13. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
2 Reports are Deliberate Science Fiction.

3 Chapter 14. Videos to Watch.

4 Chapter 15. Predatory Journals are a Fabrication.

5 Chapter 16 Modeling and fictional models.

6 Email from Dorrance Publishing on 10/31/2024

7

8

9 mmcintyre@dorrancepublishing.com

10

11 To:You

12

Thu 10/31/2024 2:00 PM

13 Hi Dave:

14

15 I just wanted to give you a status update for Climate Crisis Changed (2nd
16 Edition). Your first copy is being printed.

17


18 Upon completion of the finished book, we will mail it to you. I will email you
19 tracking information.

20

21 As always, should you have any questions please feel free to be in touch.

22

CLIMATE CRISIS CHANGED



**The Intergovernmental Panel On Climate Change
Reports are Deliberate Science fiction (IPCC).**

Cctruth.org

College Textbook Second Edition

PROF DAVE WHITE

Plaintiff mentioned residence time of atmospheric carbon dioxide. Residence time for atmospheric Carbon Dioxide is like standing water in a kitchen sink with the drain plugged. The water resides for a long period of time.

“Retention time” is the same idea as “residence time.” The average residence time for carbon dioxide is the average time a molecule of carbon dioxide, for example, stays in the troposphere, according to more than 160 PhD’s in 19 published manuscripts, summarized in one published manuscript. Anything we have done or will do with emissions of carbon dioxide will take 150 years to have any effect.

Proof is any major events which would have lowered atmospheric carbon dioxide worldwide for which there is still no effect in the carbon dioxide rise data.

- Oil embargo in the 1970’s, for almost two years the worldwide carbon dioxide emissions would have dropped by 90%.
 - Multiple recessions each one the worldwide carbon dioxide emissions would have decreased by 40% for at least one year.
 - Worldwide recession in 2009. A 70% reduction in emissions of carbon dioxide for almost two years.
 - COVID-19 pandemic. A 6% reduction in emissions for 1.5 years.
- You can clearly see no signature from these events in the NOAA data.



Unrealized Global Temperature Increase: Implications of Current Uncertainties, Schwartz, S. E. J. Geophys. Res. , 2018, doi: 10.1002/2017JD028121.

The following table provides evidence of the lengthy residence time of Carbon Dioxide in the atmosphere, proving that all emissions-based solutions are futile, misguided, and nefarious in intent, given the globalist agenda stated above.


- a. Unrealized Global Temperature Increase: Implications of Current Uncertainties, Schwartz, S. E. J. Geophys. Res. , 2018, doi: 10.1002/2017JD028121.. ,

D.	Residence Time (Years)	E.	Author	F.	Year
G.	>700	H.	Allen	I.	2009
J.	610	K.	Zickfeld	L.	2013
M.	500	N.	Matthews	O.	2008
P.	300	Q.	Plattner	R.	2008
S.	270	T.	Cao	U.	2010
V.	230	W.	Zickfeld	X.	2012
Y.	220	Z.	Solomon	AA.	2012
BB.	220	CC.	Knutti	DD.	2012
EE.	210	FF.	Gillett	GG.	2011
HH.	180	II.	Frolicher	JJ.	2010
KK.	150	LL.	Hare	MM.	2006

Another way to look at residence time is a signature from past events, which lowered carbon dioxide emissions.

Oil embargo in the 1970's
Multiple recessions
Worldwide recession in 2009.
COVID-19 pandemic.

You can clearly see no signature from these events. Take the oil embargo of the 1970's. There was a national shortage of fuel and costs were prohibitive. Yet, on the graph you can see that there is no dip in atmospheric CO₂. It's not caused by fossil fuel burning.



Given this scientific evidence do the draconian measures recommended by the UN make any sense?

1. Do the Cap and Trade policies, mentioned above, provide answers to correct any needed climate change based on CO₂ levels. The answer is,

no.

2. Are Solar Panels and Windmills a viable solution? The answer is: no. There is nothing green in the green new deal unless you like rolling blackouts! Solar panels don't work at night or with snow cover. Nor are windmills the solution

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JYHX-lb3Q5Q>

3. Are The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Reports based on correct science? Again, the answer is: no.

More than 3000 PhD's from 23 climate change conferences are fully aware and agree with this conclusion.

1 4. Are the IPCC references in their reports based on loosely
2 referenced manuscripts with little or no scientific value? The answer
3 is yes.
4

5 As noted earlier, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
6 (IPCC) reports are deliberate science fiction. They are based on
7 loosely referenced manuscripts published in a journal whose editor
8 had no qualifications other than a PhD in political science. His
9 modus operandi were to circular reference these spurious
10 manuscripts and pass them off in the IPCC reports as science. That
11 is the farthest thing from science. When my team of scientific fact-
12 checkers pointed this out he was summarily dismissed, although his
13 replacement is not much better.

14 See chapter 13 in the college textbook on ccruth.org. See exhibits I and II
15 in this complaint. To add a note of comic relief, if this pseudo-scientific
16
17 trend continues, here's what Greta Thunberg will be saying in 2065.



1 5. The American Meteorological Society (AMS), Nature and Plusone
2 Journals have added to the confusion by starting a predatory journals list.
3 These groups are projecting their own malfeasance onto their opponents.
4 The journals on their lists are anything but predatory. For example, the
5 well-respected and renowned Journal of International Chemical
6 Engineering is on their predatory journal list!

7 Moreover, The AMS, Nature and Plusone Journals charge \$3000-4000 to
8 publish a manuscript open source. Most of the journals on the predatory
9 journals list charge only \$300-\$400 to publish a manuscript open source!
10 So we might well ask, who's the predator? The AMS, Nature and Plusone
11 Journals Chief Editors are pseudo scientists who won't let anything get
12 peer reviewed which doesn't conform to the United nations false agenda.

14 Conclusion

16 Plaintiff David White has archived 23 plenary presentations on cctruth.org
17 which show atmospheric carbon dioxide is not an emissions issue. It is a
18 97% loss of photosynthesis issue due to a depleted Amazon Rain Forest.
19 Moreover, Global sea rise is 1.4 mm/year linear and not accelerating.

22 As noted above, Plaintiff's watchdog team of up to 30-35 doctoral level
23 climate scientists participate in annual government and expert review of
24 the IPCC reports and have found many errors in data, analysis, and
25 departure from age-old scientific principles.

26 A case in point is the review team's requiring the mitigation group of IPCC
27 to make page 6, paragraph b.1.3, of their report for AR6 March 20 2024,

1 contain the statement of Jim Skea to lower emissions of carbon dioxide.

2 The statement had no external citations (references). This was buried on

3 page 95 of their report. On page 101 we found a probability table and the

4 statement:

5 ““No pathways were available that achieve a greater than 50-66%

6 probability of limiting warming below 1.5° C [bold added] during the entire

7 21st century based on the MAGICC model projections.” For limiting global

8 warming to below 2°C with at least 66% probability CO₂ emissions are

9 projected to decline by about 25% by 2030 in most pathways (10–30%

10 interquartile range) and reach net zero around 2070”. This shows that

11 their probability for a solution of lowering carbon dioxide emissions works

12 only 50-65% of the time.

13 Lowering emissions of carbon dioxide by 25% by 2030 will only lower our

14 carbon dioxide to a level of 26 billion tons. We need to reach 8.6 billion

15 tons to start the process of lowering atmospheric carbon dioxide. That

16 means this IPCC model is unattainable factually and statistically.

18 Relief Sought

1 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

2 Provide \$52 billion to Climate Change Truth bank account.

3 Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations
4 as if fully set forth herein.

5
6 SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

7 Install many low-cost Nucor, nuclear reactor in the county–
8 technology developed on campus by a former Oregon State Nuclear
9 Physicist to avert power disruptions this Fall. This will demonstrate
10 the availability of a cheap, clean, safe, and incredibly efficient (only
11 18% typical waste) alternative to alleged or real deficiencies of other
12 energy sources, in accordance with sound principles of
13 Environmental Science. [https://nucor.com/madeforgood/nuscale-](https://nucor.com/madeforgood/nuscale-case-study)
14 [case-study](https://nucor.com/madeforgood/nuscale-case-study) Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the
15 foregoing
16
17 allegations as if fully set forth herein.
18

19
20 INJUNCTION
21

22 The defendants must hold a press conference with Climate Change Truth.
23
24

25 PARTIES
26

1 Plaintiff is a research scientist, with about 30 years' experience with
2 Semiconductors and who follows the data with no other agenda. He
3 leads a team of 35 PhD's, mostly College Professors, who participate
4 in the Expert and Government Review of the IPCC and NOAA reports
5 program for the Global Change Group of the National Academy of
6 Sciences. We just finished NCA6 NOAA review June, 2024 and as
7 usual, it ignored the Scientific Method to serve as a propaganda
8 piece for the UN's political agenda. Students deserve to hear "the
9 other side of the story," that is endorsed by thousands of private-
10 sector scientists worldwide, but who have no voice.

11
12 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** Plaintiff hereby certify that on July 24th,
13 2024, a true and correct copy of the above document was
14 electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using paper. A copy of
15 the document will be served upon interested parties via the US mail
16 and email. Additionally, a courtesy copy is being provided as follows:

17
18
19
20
21 mult.chair@multco.us

1 district1@multco.us

2 district2@multco.us

3 district4@multco.us

4 ☐ Via hand delivery

5 ☐ Via U.S. Mail, 1st Class,
6 Postage Prepaid

7 ☐ Via Overnight Delivery

8 ☐ Via Facsimile

9 XX Via Email

10 XX Via CM/ECF notification

11 to the extent registered DATED: July 24, 2024.

12 By: David White

13
14 

15 Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e)". says (e)(1) "following state law for serving a summons
16 in an action brought in courts of general jurisdiction in the state where the
17 district court is located or where service is made; However, by Oregon law
18 email service is allowed. UTCR 8 21.10 (2) explains a document may be a
19 pleading or many other documents.