

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
MEDFORD DIVISION

Climate Change Truth Inc.
research@cctruth.org, class
Action members: David
White, Randy Beers,
Orlando Castanon,
Kate Martin, Luis Figueroa,
Charlie Robinson, Leland Jossy,
Christopher Warren, Anna Koch,
Jeff Kubler, Jo Ann Donahue,
Homer Champagne, Dennis
Woods, Conor Mcmenemie, Bill
Cecrle, Sunshine Cecrle,
Kingston Tegroen, Alexander
Keltz, Suzanne Tegroen,
Roxanne Cook, Susan Jessup
Karen Pollard, James Lewis
Jasmyne Thiel, Alexa Phillips
Christopher C Townsend
Rashel Mata, Nicholas Mata
Gilda Oliveros, Stephanie
Dryden, John Elder, Kingsway
Classical Academy,
Plaintiffs

Trial

v.

Tina Kotek, in her personal
capacity and her official capacity
of Governor of the State of
Oregon; Catherine Macdonald in
her official capacity as leader of
Oregon Global Warming
Commission, Leah Feldon in
her official Capacity as leader of
the Oregon Department of
Environment Quality (DEQ), Kris

Case

COMPLAINT FOR
DECLARATORY
JUDGEMENT,
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF,
AND DAMAGES

1 **Strickler in his official Capacity**
 2 **as leader of the Oregon**
 3 **Department of Transportation**
 4 **Department**
 5 **Defendants.**
 6 **Attorney for Defendants is Steve Lippold**
 7 **steve.lippold@doj.state.or**



11 **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

13 WEST VIRGINIA ET AL. v. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ET AL.
 14 [https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/news/features/the-supreme-court-curbed-epas-power-to-regulate-](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/news/features/the-supreme-court-curbed-epas-power-to-regulate-carbon-emissions-from-power-plants-what-comes-next/)
 15 [carbon-emissions-from-power-plants-what-comes-next/](https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/news/features/the-supreme-court-curbed-epas-power-to-regulate-carbon-emissions-from-power-plants-what-comes-next/)

16 Article 11 of the US constitution.

17 Artl.S8.C3.7.1.

18 **INTRODUCTION**

20 Cause of Action.

21 Artl.S8.C3.7.1 Overview of Dormant Commerce Clause

22 1. The Dormant Commerce Clause involves not federal power to act
 23 but the restrictions on state power that are inherent in the
 24 Commerce Clause. There is no actual “Dormant Commerce

25
 26 Clause” found in the Constitution. Rather, the restrictions on state
 27 action have been inferred by the Supreme Court from the
 28 Commerce Clause.

29
 30 2. You will recall that in Gibbons v. Ogden, 9 Wheat. 1 (1824), the
 31 issue involved a state-granted monopoly that conflicted with a
 32 federal licensing law for the operation of steamboats. Ogden’s New
 33 York monopoly, according to the Court would render the federal law

1 impotent in New
2 York, and therefore the Supremacy Clause required the Court to
3 enforce the federal law.

4
5 3. The Environmental Protection Agency is the only agency to regulate
6 greenhouse gases. By the Dormant Commerce Clause only the
7 federal government can regulate greenhouse gases. However, the
8 Supreme Court of the United states ruled against them. They
9 incorrectly used the Clean air act of 1967 which said for regulation
10 the chemical must be toxic. No greenhouse gas is toxic. This is
11 Exhibit 3.

12
13 4. Article 11 of the US constitution provided the federal government
14 and states can't have tort actions filed against them. This does not
15 apply to this tort action because the defendants are individuals.

16
17 5. Title.-This Act may be cited as the ``Uniting and Strengthening
18 America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and
19 Obstruct Terrorism (USA PATRIOT ACT) Act of 2001".

20
21 Climate Change is about fear mongering. The United Nations has
22 put forth this "the World is going to end in ten years" since 1989.
23 Absolutely nothing they have said has happened nor will happen.
24



1

2 This complaint presents the following five questions concerning Cap and
3 Trade policies in the Public

4 Law 117 - 58 - Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, Executive Order
5 13990 86 Fed. Reg. 7037 Section 40434a; relating to protecting public
6 health and the environment and restoring science to tackle the climate
7 crisis.

8 1. Do the Cap and Trade policies, mentioned above, provide the right
9 answers to correct climate change. The answer is, no. There is no
10 climate crisis. See exhibits I and II. More than 2000 PhD's at 19
11 Climate Change conferences on cctruth.org know and agree with
12 this.

13

1 2. Are Solar Panels and Windmills the correct solution? The answer is:
2 no.

3 There is nothing green in the green new deal unless you like rolling
4 blackouts! Solar panels don't work at night or with snow on them.
5 Windmills are not the solution

6
7 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JYHX-lb3Q5Q>
8

9 3. Are The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
10 Reports using correct science? The answer is: no.

11
12 a. More than 2000 PhD's from 19 climate change conferences know
13 and agree with this.

14
15 4. Are the IPCC references in their reports based on loosely
16 referenced manuscripts with little or no scientific value? The answer
17 is yes.

18
19 The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reports
20 which are deliberate science fiction. Unless any scientist believes
21 writing loosely referenced manuscripts and publishing them in a
22 journal where the chief editor had a PhD in political science and then
23 circular referencing
24 them in the IPCC reports is science. That is not science. See
25 chapter 13 in the college textbook on ccruth.org. See exhibits I and
26 II in this complaint.

27
28 5. Has the variable NetZeroCO2e ever been calculated? The answer
29 is: yes.

30 [https://www.omicsonline.org/open-access/the-essential-role-of-](https://www.omicsonline.org/open-access/the-essential-role-of-photosynthesis-in-)
31 [photosynthesis-in-](https://www.omicsonline.org/open-access/the-essential-role-of-photosynthesis-in-)

32 [defining-net-zero-carbon-dioxide-emissions-for-equilibrium-](https://www.omicsonline.org/open-access/the-essential-role-of-photosynthesis-in-defining-net-zero-carbon-dioxide-emissions-for-equilibrium-calculations.pdf)
33 [calculations.pdf](https://www.omicsonline.org/open-access/the-essential-role-of-photosynthesis-in-defining-net-zero-carbon-dioxide-emissions-for-equilibrium-calculations.pdf)

1 “In this research manuscript, the authors seek to answer four
2 essential questions relative to the current climate change
3 conversation now underway globally: (Q1) what is the
4 numerically defined goal for annual Net Zero Carbon Dioxide
5 Emissions in gigatonnes essential for global atmospheric
6 homeostasis? (Q2) Why is atmospheric CO₂ rising even
7 though recent data support that CO₂ emissions have the rate
8 of rise lowered by 50% since 2014 globally? (Q3) Are CO₂
9 cap and trade policies the best immediate intervention, or
10 does globally increasing photosynthesis offer a more
11 rapid and better long-term solution to climate change? (Q4)
12 What strategies can be employed to have the greatest positive
13 impact over the upcoming crucial twelve-year period? Nothing
14 absorbs carbon dioxide out of our atmosphere like
15 photosynthesis, and
16 therein lies the most under-discussed solution to the greatest
17 problem of our time. A single hectare of healthy Amazon
18 Rainforest can sequester up to 100 tons of CO₂yr⁻¹ due to
19 photosynthesis. And the fast-growing Empress Tree
20 (Paulownia tomentosa) not only grows ten to twenty feet tall in

1 its first year, but a single hectare of these trees can sequester
2 up to 103 tons of CO₂yr⁻¹ due to photosynthesis [1]. Prior to
3 the Industrial Revolution and long before global deforestation
4 devastated Earth's delicate atmospheric
5 ecosystem, forests around the world are estimated to have
6 consumed up to 400 billion tons of CO₂yr⁻¹. As of 2019, that
7 has been reduced dramatically as global forests consume less
8 than 10 billion tons of CO₂yr⁻¹ with photosynthesis [2].
9 NetZeroCO₂E=8.6 gt/yt (billion tons per year) photosynthesis.

10 “

11
12 The residence time of Atmospheric Carbon dioxide. Residence time is like
13 standing water in a kitchen sink with the drain plugged. The water is
14 residing longer. Retention time is the same idea as residence time. The
15 average residence time is the average time a molecule of carbon dioxide,
16 for example, to stay in the troposphere.

17 More than 160 PhD's in 19 published manuscripts summarize in one
18 published manuscript. Anything we have done or will do with emissions of
19 carbon dioxide will take 150 years to have any effect. Proof is any major
20 events which would have lowered atmospheric carbon dioxide worldwide
21 for which there is still no effect in the data.

22 Oil embargo in the 1970's, for almost two years the worldwide carbon
23 dioxide emissions would have dropped by 90%


24 Multiple recessions each one the worldwide carbon dioxide emissions
25 would have decreased by 40% for at least one year.

1 Worldwide recession in 2009. A 70% reduction in emissions of carbon
 2 dioxide for almost two years.

3 COVID-19 pandemic. A 6% reduction in emissions for 1.5 years.

4 You can clearly see no signature from these events in the NOAA data.

❖ CO₂ mixed by atmospheric winds.




❖ Courtesy Pieter Tans Mauna Loa
 ❖ Dave White cctruth.org

5

Residence Time (Years) Author Year. Residence time is like standing water in your kitchen sink. The water is residing longer.

Residence Time (Years)	Author	Year
700	Allen	2009
610	Zickfeld	2013
500	Matthews	2008
300	Plattner	2008
270	Cao	2010
230	Zickfeld	2012
220	Solomon	2012
220	Knutti	2012
210	Gillett	2011
180	Frolicher	2010
150	Hare	2006



6

7

8 Unrealized Global Temperature Increase: Implications of Current
 9 Uncertainties, Schwartz, S. E. J. Geophys. Res. , 2018, doi:
 10 10.1002/2017JD028121.+
 11

1 This is what Greta Thunberg will say in 2065.



2

3

4 A Plenary address at many climate change conferences

05/31/2023
Plenary address

The Essential Role of Photosynthesis in Defining Net Zero Carbon Dioxide Emissions for Equilibrium Calculations

Professor Dave White, Henry Ealy and Katherine Martin

1Chemical Engineer with Masters Level study in Statistics, Climate Change Truth Inc. USA

2Head of Energetic Health Institute and Operation Phoenix Rising, USA

3Research assistant in research department for Climate Change Truth, USA

1

Agenda

- ❖ Agenda
 - ❖ I-Atmospheric CO₂ is not an emissions issue
 - ❖ II-Ocean not a sink for Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide
 - ❖ III-Sea Level Rise is 1.4 mmyr⁻¹ and not accelerating
 - ❖ IV-Southeast USA storms cause and solution.
 - ❖ Summary

2

I-Atmospheric CO₂ is not an emissions issue

- ❖ Follow the data
- ❖ Global carbon atlas.
- ❖ Why its not our emissions
- ❖ Where we are
- ❖ Mauna Loa CO₂ Growth Rate
- ❖ Where we are going
- ❖ Future
- ❖ Photosynthesis issues
- ❖ Correct solution for Atmospheric CO₂ with results!
- ❖ Global Warming Potential

1



Follow the data Not an Agenda

- ❖ Use all the data- don't cherry pick
- ❖ See what the data says. Perform statistical analysis.
- ❖ All models much be verified with actual data.
- ❖ This presentation is the result of following the data.
- ❖ Nature Climate Change and AMS Journals are "woke" and should not be used or cared about.
- ❖ Predatory journal lists are lies!
- ❖ All scientists must keep from a scientific belief system
- ❖ We must keep our minds open to new science.

2

New Paradigm

- ❖ We have worked on Carbon Dioxide Emissions.
- ❖ Lets work on Photosynthesis. Atmospheric CO₂ decrease by 2031.
- ❖ Drain atmospheric CO₂ like a bathtub.



1

2 College Textbook for Environmental science published.

3

4 Climate Crisis Changed: The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
5 Change (IPCC) reports are deliberate science fiction. From
6 Climate Change Truth. <https://cctruth.org>

7

8 Dorrance Publishing Co Lauriat Publishing.

9 Library of Congress ISBN is 979-8-88812-127-6, E-ISBN is 979-8-
10 88812627-1

11 On Amazon and Barnes and Noble late June 2023

12

13 Publishers bookstore link.

14 [https://rosedogbookstore.com/climate-crisis-changed-the-](https://rosedogbookstore.com/climate-crisis-changed-the-intergovernmental-panel-on-climate-change-ipcc-reports-are-deliberate-science-fiction/?showHidden=true)
15 [intergovernmental-panel-on-climate-change-ipcc-reports-are-](https://rosedogbookstore.com/climate-crisis-changed-the-intergovernmental-panel-on-climate-change-ipcc-reports-are-deliberate-science-fiction/?showHidden=true)
16 [deliberate-science-fiction/?showHidden=true](https://rosedogbookstore.com/climate-crisis-changed-the-intergovernmental-panel-on-climate-change-ipcc-reports-are-deliberate-science-fiction/?showHidden=true)

17 The preorder is available now on this link.

18

1 Chapter 1. Carbon dioxide equilibrium. NetZeroCO2E = 8.6 billion
2 tons of photosynthesis left in this world.
3 Chapter 2. Greenhouse Gases. Methane is much less effective
4 greenhouse gas. Water vapor is largest effect.
5 Chapter 3. Astrophysical Warming of the Earth. Cooling in the
6 south and warming in the north where 90% of people live.
7 Chapter 4. Residence Time of Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide. It
8 takes 150 years for anything we do with emissions of carbon
9 dioxide to have an effect.
10 Chapter 5. Statistical Analysis.
11 Chapter 6. NOAA Mauna Loa data and fraud.
12 Chapter 7. NiCE fix for Southeast USA Storms. Storms stopped in
13 2022. Ian is from South America and not from West Africa.
14 Chapter 8. Global Sea Rise. 1.4 mm/yr. linear and not accelerating.
15 No reliability in NOAA Satellites.
16 Chapter 9. Photosynthesis Issues.
17 Chapter 10. Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Doesn't Freeze in the
18 Mesosphere.
19 Chapter 11. NIST and photosynthesis experiment.
20 Chapter 12. Ocean is not a Sink for Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide.
21 Chapter 13. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
22 (IPCC) reports are deliberate science fiction.
23 Chapter 14. Videos to watch
24 Chapter 15. Predatory Journals are a lie.
25
26 The American Meteorological Society (AMS) and Nature Journals
27 started
28
29 predatory journals list. These lists are not predatory. The AMS and
30
31 Nature Journals charge \$3000-4000 to publish a manuscript. Most
32 of the
33
34 journals on the predatory journals list charge \$300-\$400 to
35
36 publish a manuscript! Furthermore, the well respected and
37 renowned

1
2 journal International Chemical Engineering is on the predatory
3 journal

4
5 lists!

6

7 Relief Sought

- 8 1. Stop Cap and Trade Policies. Give all money collected so far to Climate Change
9 Truth Inc.
- 10
11 2. Stop clear cutting forests. Strip logging is sustainable and makes a perfect fire
12 break
13
14 around 20,000 acres. Clear cutting is not sustainable.
- 15
16 3. Remove ethanol from fuel. Ethanol provides less power and less miles per gallon.
17
18 Therefore, more pollution.
- 19
20 4. Injunction to stop NOAA Mauna Loa from making manual increases in daily
21 worldwide
22
23 carbon dioxide data. https://ctruth.org/NOAA_Mauna.pdf.
- 24
25 5. Cap and Trade in Oregon is extracting \$1.2 billion from Oregon Citizens through
26 gas taxes
27
28 and carbon taxes on businesses and homes. We request all \$1.2 billion and any
29 future
30
31 revenues coming from Cap and trade or anything like a carbon tax will be paid to
32 Climate
33 Change Truth.

34

35 For Immediate Release

36 02 February 2022 Portland, Oregon

1 **Announcing the Publication of the First Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Equilibrium**
2 **Manuscript to Define NetZeroCO₂e in *The Journal of Earth Science & Climatic Change*,**
3 **the number one Climate Change Journal rated by impact factor!**
4 **<https://www.omicsonline.org/climatic-change-journals-conferences-list.php>**
5 **[https://www.omicsonline.org/open-access/the-essential-role-of-photosynthesis-in-defining-](https://www.omicsonline.org/open-access/the-essential-role-of-photosynthesis-in-defining-netzero-carbon-dioxide-emissions-for-equilibrium-calculations.pdf)**
6 **[netzero-carbon-dioxide-emissions-for-equilibrium-calculations.pdf](https://www.omicsonline.org/open-access/the-essential-role-of-photosynthesis-in-defining-netzero-carbon-dioxide-emissions-for-equilibrium-calculations.pdf)**

7 White D, Ealy H, Martin, K (2022) The Essential Role of
8 Photosynthesis in Defining Net Zero Carbon Dioxide Emissions for Equilibrium Calculations.
9 J Earth Sci Clim Change, 13: 602.

10

11 **Dave White's team research manuscript has received high marks from peer reviewers**
12 **and has been published in the top-most climate change journal by impact factor.**

13

14 **Dave White's team includes himself, Henry Ealy Ph.D. and Katherine Martin, research**
15 **assistant.**

16

17 Dave White, a chemical engineer with a Master's level (461) study in statistics, is a
18 founding member of [Climate Change Truth](#). CCTruth is an organization dedicated to
19 finding the answer to civilization's most pressing problem. His organization has worked to
20 stop the destruction of rainforests in India and Peru, recognizing the urgency of preserving
21 photosynthesis levels.

22 Dave White's teamwork, *The Essential Role of Photosynthesis in Defining Net Zero Carbon*
23 *Dioxide Emissions for Equilibrium Calculations* has completed the peer review process,
24 receiving comments such as:

- 25 • **The team explains how cap and trade policies would have zero effect on the rise**
26 **of atmospheric carbon dioxide because the equilibrium point is too low. The**
27 **strategy with the most positive effect on lowering atmospheric CO₂ is by**
28 **increasing photosynthesis.**
29 • **There are many positive points which are useful for everyone to understand and**
30 **learn from. The reviewers found the manuscript very impressive.**
31 • **[Additional comments can be found here.](#)**

32 Dave White has painstakingly shown that some of today's most popular strategies for
33 addressing climate change do not and will not work. As his research shows, the key is to
34 enhance photosynthesis by increasing forestation. The need for more trees and shrubs is
35 urgent and planting needs to accelerate immediately.

1 Key Findings

2

3 Dave White's team's groundbreaking research has found that the northern hemisphere
4 forests only consume 2.6 billion tons of carbon dioxide per year through photosynthesis.
5 They also note that all the southern hemisphere forests have become oxygen sinks and
6 carbon dioxide producers due to organic decay. The current forestation level is insufficient
7 for the Earth's needs. Other findings include:

8


9 Ocean photosynthesis is decreasing.

10 The tropospheric carbon dioxide is diffusing to the exosphere, not the ocean. The ocean is
11 not a sink for carbon dioxide.

12 <https://www.pmel.noaa.gov/co2/story/OA+Observations+and+Data?fbclid=IwAR0-xb0B->

13 uGS0GOsX9Yq_2Pem5Airvttxl6fypsikuNDcEIGR7qGPiIHNFM

14 Ocean SOCAT (vessel carbon dioxide) data is from vessels with carbon dioxide sensors. No
15 relationship between Ocean and atmospheric carbon dioxide.



16

- 17 • Planting native trees and shrubs near roads (where applicable) will consume all the
18 carbon dioxide from vehicles in ten years.

19 On Netflix please watch 2 movies. Kiss the Ground and Seaspiracy

20

1 3 **18th Climate Change Conference.** Plenary address. The Essential Role of Photosynthesis
2 in Defining Net Zero Carbon Dioxide Emissions for Equilibrium Calculations

3 Well documented, well received science about climate change.

4 https://cctruth.org/Plenary_VSET_04_23_22.pdf

5 Video here: https://cctruth.org/Plenary_VSET_04_23_22.mp4

6

7 67 more conferences have invited me to present the most expedient way to lower atmospheric
8 carbon

9

10 dioxide to 330 ppm by 2031! Cctruth.org has had **over 54 million visitors in the last 24**
11 **months.**

12 Call to action on the home page!

13 Atmospheric carbon dioxide never lowers working on

14

15 emissions of carbon dioxide.

16

17 Slide 2 through 27. Atmospheric carbon dioxide is not an emissions issue. It's a 97% loss of

18

19 photosynthesis.

20 Slide 6. Emissions of carbon dioxide are not cause and effect. The graph used to say cause and
21 effect

22

23 has not been updated since 2012!

24

25 Slide 9 and 10. Average atmospheric carbon dioxide residence time is 150 years! No effect from
26 any

27

28 recession or emissions work for 150 years!

29

30 Slide 11. Atmospheric carbon dioxide never lowers working on emissions.

31

32 Slide 12. 90% of people on earth live in the northern hemisphere. 90% of our emissions would
33 look

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

completely different than the world wide data looks.

Slide 16. Atmospheric carbon dioxide is a drain (photosynthesis) issue.

Slide 21. The total carbon dioxide emissions worldwide is not 34 billion tons, it is closer to 50 billion.

There is no way to get from 50 to 8.6 billion tons!

We must increase photosynthesis!

Slide 24. loss of worldwide oxygen cycles, just like the carbon dioxide increase. They are tied together.

Slide 27. Today atmospheric carbon dioxide is 420 ppm. Increasing photosynthesis, from 8.6 billion

tons, current level, to 80 to 100k billion tons per year, is lowering the atmospheric carbon dioxide to

330 ppm by 2031. Over 2000 PhD's agree with this.

Slide 29 through 33. Results of increasing photosynthesis.

Slide 34 Mauna Loa CO2 peaked in February this year for the first time!

Slide 35. State of Oregon sanctioned experiment which proves we can plant native trees and shrubs

next to roads, and in 10 years they will consume all the carbon dioxide from the vehicles. This applies to

1

2 +/-50 degrees latitude.

3

4 Slide 37. Atmospheric carbon dioxide doesn't freeze in the atmosphere!

5

6 Slide 38 to Slide 40. The Ocean is not a sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide!

7

8 Slides 41 Through 45. Our 23-30 scientific PhD review of The Intergovernmental Panel on
9 Climate

10

11 Change (IPCC) Reports caused the Mitigation group (Jim Skea's) to put the statement used to
12 say we

13

14 need to lower emissions of carbon dioxide into the 5th paragraph of their executive summary
15 (ES). This

16

17 statement had zero references and was buried on page 90. Likewise, on page 100 their
18 probability for

19

20 their solution to work is 66%!

21

22 We have written a college textbook accepted by one of the most prestigious college book
23 publishers.

24

25 The title is "Climate Crisis Changed. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
26 Reports are

27

28 science fiction!"

29

30 Slides 46 to 54. Sea level rise is 1.4 mm/yr. linear and not accelerating.

31

32 Slides 55-60 NiCE fix, SE USA storms not from Climate Change

33

1 CALL TO ACTION ON CCTRUTH.ORG.

2


3 4 California lawmakers are turning cap-and-trade into the slush fund critics long feared.

4

5 <https://www.latimes.com/opinion/editorials/la-ed-cap-and-trade-safe-drinking-waterbudget-20190614-story.html>

6

7 8 California has the most EV's of any state.



9

10 6 California's power grid has already had rolling blackouts. This article says "California has

11

12 pushed hard to switch to solar and wind power while closing older gas-burning plants. That

13

14 action has left the grid vulnerable in evenings when solar production fades. California

15

16 Independent System Operator Chief Executive Officer Elliot Mainzer said Friday that,

17

18 "consumer conservation to avoid outages may be needed for years."
19
20
21

1 [https://www.nytimes.com/2022/09/01/us/california-heat-wave-flex-alert-ac-ev-](https://www.nytimes.com/2022/09/01/us/california-heat-wave-flex-alert-ac-ev-charging.html)
2 [charging.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2022/09/01/us/california-heat-wave-flex-alert-ac-ev-charging.html)

3 7

4 Plaintiffs Climate Change Truth and David White have 18 presentations on cctruth.org
5
6 which show atmospheric carbon dioxide is not an emissions issue. It is a loss of
7 photosynthesis

8

9 issue. Also Global sea rise is 1.4 mm/year linear and not accelerating.

10 David White along with 23-30 scientific PhD's participate in government and expert review of
11 the IPCC

12

13 reports and they find many errors in data and analysis, abandoning century held scientific
14 principles.

15

16 Case in point, the review scientific PHD's have caused the mitigation group of IPCC to make
17 paragraph

18

19 5, of their report for AR6, to contain the statement Jim Skea which was we need to lower
20 emissions of

21

22 carbon dioxide. The statement had zero citations (references) which would this solution.

23 This was

24

25 buried on page 95 of their report. On page 101 we found a probability table.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

““No pathways were available that achieve a greater than **50-66% probability of limiting warming below 1.5° C** [bold added] during the entire 21st century based on the MAGICC model projections” For limiting global warming to below 2°C with at least 66% probability CO₂ emissions are projected to decline by about 25% by 2030 in most pathways (10–30% interquartile range) and reach net zero around 2070”. It shows their probability for a solution by lowering emissions of carbon dioxide work only 50-65% of the time. This in in Exhibits 1 and 2. Lowering emissions of carbon dioxide by 25% by 2030 will only lower our carbon dioxide to a level of 26 billion tons. We need to reach 8.6 billion tons to start the process of lowering atmospheric carbon dioxide. That means this IPCC model

1

2 is unattainable factually and statistically.

3

4 **PARTIES**

5

6 1 Plaintiffs are scientists who follow the data and no other agenda.

7

8 2 **New information brings The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)**

9

10 **Reports into question. Exhibits 1 and 2 show this.**

11

12 3 **David White gave the 19th plenary address of the carbon dioxide equilibrium at a**
13 **climate change conference in March 2022. We only have 8.6 billion tons of**
14 **photosynthesis remaining per year in our world.**

15

16 4 **The average residence time for atmospheric carbon dioxide is 150 years. These are**
17 **more than 160 scientific PhD's in 19 published manuscripts summarized in one**
18 **manuscript.**
19
20
21


D. Residence Time (Years)	E. Author	F. Year
G. >700	H. Allen	I. 2009
J. 610	K. Zickfeld	L. 2013
M. 500	N. Matthews	O. 2008
P. 300	Q. Plattner	R. 2008
S. 270	T. Cao	U. 2010
V. 230	W. Zickfeld	X. 2012
Y. 220	Z. Solomon	AA. 2012
BB. 220	CC. Knutti	DD. 2012
EE. 210	FF. Gillett	GG. 2011

HH. 180	II. Frolicher	JJ. 2010
KK. 150	LL. Hare	MM. 2006

- 1 a. Unrealized Global Temperature Increase: Implications of Current Uncertainties,
- 2 Schwartz, S. E. J. Geophys. Res. , 2018, doi: 10.1002/2017JD028121.. ,
- 3
- 4 b. Another way to look at residence time is a signature from past events, which
- 5 lowered carbon
- 6
- 7 dioxide emissions.

8
 9 Oil embargo in the 1970's
 10 Multiple recessions
 11 Worldwide recession in 2009.
 12 COVID-19 pandemic.

13
 14 You can clearly see no signature from these events. Take the oil embargo of the
 15 1970's. There was a national shortage of fuel and costs were prohibitive. Yet, on



the graph below, you can see that there is no dip in atmospheric CO₂. It's not caused by fossil fuel burning.

27

- 28 c. The Court should issue an order that Oregon State's cap and trade policy is the
- 29 wrong solution, an order prohibiting the State of Oregon from enforcing its
- 30 policy, and a judgment for damages.

31
 32 **Causes of Action.**

33 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

34
 35 Stop Cap and Trade policies which won't do any good. The average residence
 36 time of atmospheric carbon dioxide is 150 years. No effect from any recession or
 37 COVID. Pay \$1.2 billion to Climate Change Truth Inc. cctruth.org

38

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Stop clear cutting forests. These practices are not sustainable!

Change to strip logging around every 20,000 acres of Oregon forest. This is sustainable logging.

Most of the trees on the side of the stripped area will re-seed the stripped area with native species for that microclimate.

Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Remove ethanol from fuel. Ethanol in fuel causes much less shelf life and 10% less fuel economy. http://www.fuel-testers.com/expiration_of_ethanol_gas.html

1 [https://www.bellperformance.com/blog/the-disadvantages-of-adding-](https://www.bellperformance.com/blog/the-disadvantages-of-adding-ethanol-to-your-fuel)
2 [ethanol-to-your-fuel](https://www.bellperformance.com/blog/the-disadvantages-of-adding-ethanol-to-your-fuel)

3

4 **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

5

6 **INJUNCTION**

7

8 Stop NOAA Mauna Loa from making manual increases in daily

9 worldwide carbon dioxide data. https://ctruth.org/NOAA_Mauna.pdf

10 More fraud by NOAA below.

11

12 What NOAA is covering up is that the daily worldwide carbon dioxide

13

14 is not going up, it's going down. The reason it's going down is the

15

16 Indian rainforest and the forests in Peru have stopped their

17

18 deforestations. Professor Dave White spoke to these countries' and

19

20 they looked at the data at ctruth.org. 35 billion trees have been

21

22 planted in the last seven years, 3 trees per second worldwide,

23

1 numbers and this caused the peak to be in February, 2022 instead of

2

3 May 2022. The same thing for 2023. The Office of Inspector General

4

5 of the US Department of Commerce who NOAA is under is

6

7 investigating.

8

9 Why the NOAA Carbon Dioxide rise is fake.

10

11 NOAA uses the flask method. They pump carbon dioxide air into the flask

12

13 with water in it. Then see how much the carbonic acid concentration is in

14

15 the water.

16

17 Two major issues with this method.

18 1. The pressure from the pump varies from 1.2 times ambient pressure

19 to 1.5 times ambient pressure.

20 2. No temperature control in the room and the temperature may vary +/-

21 5 F

22 The diffusion they are using is $-D(dc/dx)$, dc is the change in concentration

23 and dx is the change in distance.

24

1 D is the diffusion coefficient.

2 For a gas, D is affected by temperature and pressure.

3 $D(\text{CO}_2) = D_0 [T/T_s - 1]^m$ where $D_0 = 13.942 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, $T_s = 227.0 \text{ K}$, and
4 $m = 1.7094$.

5 Therefore, the D is a first order effected by Temperature as $T/T_s - 1$. T_s is
6 constant at 227 Kelvin.

7 [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0016703713002251](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0016703713002251?via%3Dihub)
8 [?via%3Dihub](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0016703713002251?via%3Dihub)


9 Pressure effect is small but not negligible.

10 Dave White talked with NOAA Dr. Kathryn McCain of NOAA's group about the flask
11 method. She pointed Dave to a PDF of their specification. Dave found several technical
12 issues with their measurements, especially with control of temperature and pressure.

13 He also talked with Dr. Jennifer Carney, Group Leader of NIST Carbon Dioxide
14 Measurements and Reference Materials. She said they have a team working on a
15 standard to match WMO X2019 standard reference to NIST standard reference. She is
16 going to talk to the team and see if Dave can join.

17

Weekly average CO₂ at Mauna Loa



18

19 The enclosed area is manual adjustments. They are trying to make the peak at end of

20 May like the past 70 years by manually adding ppm. In our experiment on US26E with

- 1 two NIST certified sensors the no tree area has been around 405 ppm. This data is
- 2 guaranteed by the US government!

Mauna Loa 2020

<https://theprint.in/world/pakistan-plans-to-plant-10-billion-trees-by-2023-to-restore-forest-cover/569129/>


<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/climate-change-india-plants-220-million-trees-in-a-single-day-to-save-the-planet/>

<https://www.abc.net.au/news/2019-10-17/green-walls-in-china-and-africa-keeping-deserts-at-bay/11602796>
80 billion trees planned!

<https://www.aljazeera.com/videos/2020/1/23/world-economic-forum-leaders-pledge-to-plant-1-trillion-trees>

Recent Daily Average Mauna Loa CO₂

February 28: 413.02 ppm
February 25: 413.50 ppm
February 24: 414.09 ppm
February 23: 414.13 ppm
February 22: 414.18 ppm
Last Updated: February 27, 2020




3

Mauna Loa fraud

- ❖ The Department of Commerce Office of Inspector General (OIG) has received your correspondence and reviewed the information you provided. We have assigned complaint number 20-0641.


Recent Daily Average Mauna Loa CO₂

March 29: 415.68 ppm
 March 28: 415.89 ppm
 March 27: 415.43 ppm
 March 26: 415.43 ppm
 March 25: 415.34 ppm
 Last updated: March 30, 2020



After the analyzer was “fixed” NOAA Mauna Loa CO₂ data increased by exactly 1.500ppm


Mauna Loa more fraud



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9

Peru Stopped Deforestation in December 2020

When Peru stopped deforestation in December 2020, world wide carbon dioxide was flat in January 2021 for the first time in 70 years. Normally the carbon dioxide increases by 1.5 ppm per month in January.




1

Mauna Loa CO₂ Peak in February 2022.

For 70 years Mauna Loa worldwide Carbon Dioxide peaked in late May and decreased until early November. However it peaked this year in February because of Indian Rainforest destruction stop, Peru stopping deforestation and 26+ billion trees planted since May 2018. The red enclosed area is manual adjustments.


New OIG complaint **TMG202304530**




Mauna Loa more fraud

In the image below taken on 2/25/2022, it can be seen 3 yellow ball averages on the right side.

Climate Change Truth (cctruth.org) has watched this daily since 2018. Never has there been 3 days in the same slope. The probability of this happening without data manipulation is $1/179^3 = 1/5,735,339$ or 1 time in 5,735,339 measurements.



1



2

3 The atmospheric winds keep carbon dioxide and all greenhouse gases equal
 4 concentration throughout the latitudes +/- 8 ppm. Our experiment with NIST certified

1 sensors is at 46 degrees north. This makes the value in Portland about 6ppm lower.
2 NOAA Mauna Loa falsely says the current value is 424ppm. The NIST certified carbon
3 dioxide sensor in the non-treed area shows between 404ppm and 394ppm daily. This is
4 far outside the 416 valued predicted by NOAA!

5 Ruling requested: Any future manual adjustments in any NOAA greenhouse gas
6 reporting must be approved by a scientific board member of cctruth.org by calling 503-
7 608-7611 M-F 6 am to 6pm M-F

8 Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully
9 set forth herein.

10
11
12
13

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

14 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff respectfully demands a jury trial of
15 all issues triable to a jury in this action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A. A declaration that the State of Oregon’s cap and trade policy is the wrong solution; Payment of all moneys collected paid to Climate Change Truth.
 - i A preliminary injunction and permanent injunction;
 - ii Damages;
 - iii Attorney fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §

- 1 B. Such other and further relief as the court deems just;
- 2
- 3 C. A permanent injunction against NOAA Carbon Dioxide rise from
- 4
- 5 making manual adjustments.
- 6
- 7 D. Remove ethanol from fuel.
- 8
- 9 E. A new Oregon law for every solar sale, ever EV sale every windmill or Hybrid
- 10 sale must be given an approved by Climate Change Truth flyer which explains
- 11 how these items won't do anything to lower carbon dioxide for 150 years
- 12

13 Respectfully Dated: 06/29/2023

14 Change Truth Inc.



David White President of Climate

15

16

Exhibit I.

17

18 David White (Dave) contacted the National Academy of Sciences, Global Change

19 group and spoke to Dr. Mike Kuperberg who is the Executive Director of the U.S.

20 Global Change Research Program (USGCRP), He saw the correct science in our

21 presentations page. He sent it to the other scientists in their office. Their

22 consensus was to have me get a team and participate in the annual "Expert and

23 Government Review (EAGR)" program of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate

24 Change (IPCC) reports.

25 I led a team of PhD's whose ranks soon swelled from myself to thirty other

26 scientists who are also participating in the writing of this college textbook.

27 Together we participated in the "EAGR" program, and we unanimously found all

28 kinds of garbage science in their reports. Also, we had Adam Yeeley, the chief

29 editor of Nature Climate Change fired. His PhD was in political science. He let the

30 IPCC scientists publish loosely referenced manuscripts and circular reference

31 them in their reports. This is not science.

1 The IPCC reports are deliberate science fiction. The IPCC writers identify
2 themselves as climate experts and inform governments globally in their reports
3 on what to believe about climate change. These false reports lead to false
4 government policies being made that negatively impact every person and
5 business around the globe through unnecessary economic restrictions and
6 taxation.

7 In our PhD review of IPCC working Group 1, in the first order draft for Ar6 we
8 found their inaccurate global warming potential model. This model assumes equal
9 greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations. This equal concentration will never happen
10 in reality. Carbon dioxide is more than 200 times the concentration of methane.
11 Furthermore, we found in Annex 2, a table with the correct order of GHG effects.
12 Any model which ignores data to benchmark it with is an inaccurate model. We
13 sent our review at least 23 times to them to correct their inaccuracies and they
14 ignored our scientific finding. That makes the AR6, report worthless as a whole.
15 However, for the final draft for AR6 they deleted the table from Annex 2! Instead
16 of making changes to make their model they deleted the benchmarking data in
17 Annex 2. This is how corrupt they are. You can't have an accurate model without
18 benchmark data to validate it.

19

20 Disclaimer: Sometimes the IPCC changes things without notification. For example,
21 the Executive Summary of the Mitigation Chapter had our review paragraph
22 added. However now to confuse people they start out every paragraph the same.
23 Previously this was not done. Also they changed the numbering scheme for the
24 chapters. The difference is they are now beginning four paragraphs with this
25 statement, "**Limiting warming to 1.5°C depends on greenhouse gas (GHG)**
26 **emissions**". The three paragraphs that start with this statement have nothing to
27 do with our review and are just there to mislead people. In fact, they still state
28 inaccuracies they've been told about on several occasions such as methane gas is
29 the worst greenhouse gas. However, by scientific measurement, it is clear that
30 methane gas is 0.29% effect and water vapor is 89.4% greenhouse gas effect. See
31 Chapter 2.

32

1 In our 23-30 scientific PhD review of IPCC working Group 1 first order draft for Ar6
2 we found their faulty global warming potential model. This model assumes equal
3 greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations. This equal concentration will never happen
4 in reality. For example, carbon dioxide is more than 200 times the concentration
5 of methane. Furthermore, in Group 1, we found in Annex 2, a table with the
6 correct order of GHG effects. Any model which ignores data to benchmark it with
7 this correct order is a fake model. We sent our review at least 23 times to inform
8 them they had to benchmark their Annex 2 table to the correct order of GHG
9 effects. However, for the final draft for Ar6 they chose not to benchmark their
10 final draft but instead chose to delete the table in Annex 2, which still left their
11 fake GWP model intact. This wasn't just overlooking the benchmarking of the
12 data. They purposely hid the fact that their science model was false. This is how
13 corrupt they are.

14 Twenty-three to thirty PhD's participate in "Expert and Government review"
15 program for the IPCC reports. We find all kinds of garbage in them. Each member
16 of our team downloads the reports by various "working groups" such as the IPCC.
17 We go through those reports line by line. Then we have an online meeting and
18 decide what we will submit for changes. Then we each submit the same changes
19 twenty-three to thirty times.

20

21 For example, for their mitigation chapter, Jim Skea said we need to lower
22 atmospheric carbon dioxide emissions by 45% by 2030. However, the statement
23 in the chapter he was basing that goal on was buried on page 95 and had no
24 references (citations). They completely made it up! Also buried on page 101 was a
25 statement stating that the probability of their solution to work is 66%. When we
26 submit our review, they put these things in the 5th paragraph of their executive
27 summary.

28 [https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGIII_FullRe](https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGIII_FullReport.pdf)
29 [port.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGIII_FullReport.pdf)

30

1 Our team of 30 scientific PhD's forced working group III to move the
2 statement with no references (citation) from page 95 to page 6 paragraph
3 B.1.3

4
5 **B.1.3** Historical cumulative net CO2 emissions from 1850 to 2019 were
6 2400 } 240 GtCO2 (high confidence). Of these, more than half
7 (58%) occurred between 1850 and 1989 [1400 } 195 GtCO2], and about
8 42% between 1990 and 2019 [1000 } 90 GtCO2]. About
9 17% of historical cumulative net CO2 emissions since 1850 occurred
10 between 2010 and 2019 [410 } 30 GtCO2].10 By comparison,
11 the current central estimate of the remaining carbon budget from 2020
12 onwards for limiting warming to 1.5°C with a probability of 50% has been
13 assessed as 500 GtCO2, and as 1150 GtCO2 for a probability of 67% for
14 limiting warming to 2°C. Remaining carbon budgets depend on the amount
15 of non-CO2 mitigation (}220 GtCO2) and are further subject to
16 geophysical uncertainties. Based on central estimates only, cumulative net
17 CO2 emissions between 2010 and 2019 compare to about four-fifths of the
18 size of the remaining carbon budget from 2020 onwards for a 50%
19 probability of limiting global warming to 1.5°C, and about
20 one-third of the remaining carbon budget for a 67% probability to limit
21 global warming to 2°C. Even when taking uncertainties into account,
22 historical emissions between 1850 and 2019 constitute a large share of
23 total carbon budgets for these global warming levels.11,12 Based on
24 central estimates only, historical cumulative net CO2 emissions between
25 1850 and 2019 amount to about four-fifths12 of the total carbon budget for
26 a 50% probability of limiting global warming to 1.5°C (central estimate
27 about 2900 GtCO2), and to about two thirds12 of the total carbon budget
28 for a 67% probability to limit global warming to 2°C (central
29 estimate about 3550 GtCO2). {Figure 2.7, 2.2, Figure TS.3, WGI Table
30 SPM.2}

34 Exhibit II

35 IPCC

36 **The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Ignores Key Data,
37 Simulation Results are invalid cctruth.org**

38 SUMMARY

1 The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change reports are inaccurate
 2 and are falsely skewing Data. Publishing garbage manuscripts in a
 3 journal whose chief editor has a PhD in Political Science. There reports
 4 are deliberate scientific fiction. <https://cctruth.org/ipcc.pdf> This is well
 5 documented with links to their reports and descriptions where we found the items.

7 IPCC Reports


8 The IPCC cherry-picks the relatively few reports which follow and support their own agenda,
 9 rejecting the greater number of reports that do not support that agenda. They have ignored
 10 the oppositional findings of more than one thousand reports about the Amazon Rainforest.
 11 Any scientist who cherry-picks data would be shamed out of a job. More than 60% of the
 12 references in their reports were to the previously farce Journal Nature Climate Change who
 13 had as Chief Editor Adam Yeeley. His Ph.D is in Political Science. He let scientists publish
 14 garbage manuscripts so they could circular reference them in the IPCC reports. This is not
 15 science! He is just there to keep correct science out and publish crap science. However, after
 16 sending email, to their board he is no longer there. Still that journals manuscripts reference
 17 the IPCC reports. The IPCC reports then reference the manuscripts in that journal. Circular
 18 referencing is not science! June 2020 I notified the board of this and they fired him the next
 19 day. Bronwyn Wake is the board member who took Adam's place. Initially they said she was
 20 chief editor for many years prior to June of 2020. I complained and they changed when she
 21 started to June 2020. The kind of garbage getting published was like the manuscript in early
 22 July which said the Antarctic was warming. This was all over the worldwide news for a few
 23 days. This garbage manuscript like the reset under Adam had the title and abstract matched,
 24 however they didn't match the manuscript. The manuscript said the warming was a 20-year
 25 cycle that started in 2020 and is cooling now!

26
 27 We performed an expert review of IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) SR 1.5
 28 Chapter Two "Mitigation" .https://cctruth.org/expert_review_SR1.5_mitigation.pdf . These
 29 are the key findings: Their equilibrium statements had no references to any published
 30 manuscripts. One of the chapter scientists replied and said they are not equilibrium
 31 statements and they are from simulations. I showed their simulations to a friend who has 27
 32 years' experience and he started uncontrollable laughter. Further down in their document was
 33 the only probability they did is 50-66% for their solution by lowering emissions will work. I sent
 34 this to around 1000 scientists, the worldwide media, the UN and IPCC scientists. The media
 35 ignored it, however, IPCC working Group 1 and 3 saw my expert review ability and invited us to
 36 review their reports for AR6 next year. https://cctruth.org/comments_ar6wg3_fod.xlsx is
 37 already accepted for WG 3.

38 https://cctruth.org/comments_ar6wg1_sod.xlsx was uploaded 4/30/2020.

39 2019 IPCC SR 1.5 Chapter 2 "Limiting warming to 1.5°C depends on greenhouse gas (GHG)
 40 emissions over the next decades, where lower GHG emissions in 2030 lead to a higher chance
 41 of keeping peak warming to 1.5°C (*high confidence*). Available pathways that aim for no or
 42 limited (less than 0.1°C) overshoot of 1.5°C keep GHG emissions in 2030 to 25–30 GtCO₂e yr⁻¹
 43 in 2030 (interquartile range). This contrasts with median estimates for current unconditional
 44 NDCs of 52–58 GtCO₂e yr⁻¹ in 2030

1 (<https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/chapter/chapter-2/>, Page ES, 5th paragraph). Now their Executive
 2 Summary
 3 (<https://cctruth.org/es.pdf>) shows this statement with no references and their probability of
 4 66%. I sent four emails asking them where these numbers came from. A research scholar at
 5 The International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) Schlossplatz 1, A-2361
 6 Laxenburg, Austria replied: "Dear Dave, Thank you very much for your question on the
 7 assessment of quantitative pathways in the SR15. The statement is taken from Table 2.4,
 8 bottom section, third row, first column, rounded to multiples of 5. The assessment in this table
 9 is based on the ensemble of quantitative pathways compiled by the IAMC and IIASA for the
 10 IPCC SR15 process
 11 (<https://doi.org/10.22022/SR15/08-2018.15429>). The Python script for preparing this table is
 12 available under an open-source license at
 13 [https://data.ene.iiasa.ac.at/sr15_scenario_analysis/asse](https://data.ene.iiasa.ac.at/sr15_scenario_analysis/assessment/sr15_2.3.3_global_emissions_statistics.html)
 14 [ssment/sr15_2.3.3_global_emissions_statistics.html](https://data.ene.iiasa.ac.at/sr15_scenario_analysis/assessment/sr15_2.3.3_global_emissions_statistics.html) (see [https://doi.org/10.22022/SR15/08-](https://doi.org/10.22022/SR15/08-2018.15428)
 15 [2018.15428](https://doi.org/10.22022/SR15/08-2018.15428) for the scientific reference of the assessment notebooks).
 16 **Neither the statement nor the table does make any assertion about an equilibrium;**
 17 **it is merely an assessment of the pathways at a specific point in time [bold added].** I
 18 do hope that this clarifies your request. The International Institute for Applied Systems
 19 Analysis (IIASA) Schlossplatz 1, A-2361 Laxenburg, Austria." Please note! This faulty
 20 simulation has us reach equilibrium at 2050!



21 I looked at their simulations and they are garbage because they don't have boundary
 22 conditions. Their simulation shows NetZero at zero to in 2050. However, the IPCC and UN
 23 have started this false 12 year doomsday garbage. This is why nothing they have predicted
 24 has or will come true. Dr. Kevin Dayaratna testified at the Oregon Carbon group with the
 25 correct use of their simulations. <https://ctruth.org/DAYARATNA.mp4>
 26 Earlier I sent this review to 5000 scientists and all the worldwide media by email with delivery
 27 and read receipts. They read it. One NOAA scientist replied and said I should go after the
 28 publishers of the IPCC crappy manuscripts. I thanked him and said I would if I had a large staff
 29 of scientists. I showed their simulations to an expert in simulations and he started
 30 uncontrollable laughter. Around December 15th 2019 I sent it to all other than Chapter three
 31 IPCC scientists. Our review was sent to the other 200 IPCC scientists who essentially agreed
 32 with the review we provided.
 33

1 Rare Use of Probability

2 “For limiting global warming to below 2°C **with at least 66% probability** [bold added]
 3 CO₂ emissions are projected to decline by about 25% by 2030 in most pathways (10–
 4 30% interquartile range) and reach net zero around 2070 (2065–2080 interquartile
 5 range).¹ {2.2, 2.3.3, 2.3.5, 2.5.3, Cross-Chapter Boxes 6 in Chapter 3 and 9 in Chapter
 6 4, 4.3.7} (p 21.3, Table 2.1).

7 “No pathways were available that achieve a greater than **50-66% probability**
 8 **of limiting warming below 1.5° C** [bold added] during the entire 21st century based
 9 on the MAGICC model projections” For limiting global warming to below 2°C with at least
 10 66% probability CO₂ emissions are projected to decline by about 25% by 2030 in most
 11 pathways (10–30% interquartile range) and reach net zero around 2070 (see p. ES,
 12 Paragraph 5). The probability is actually zero because the minimum residence time is
 13 hundreds of years. (Probability Table 2.1 page 21.3)

14

TABLE 2.1
 Classification of pathways that this chapter draws upon, along with the number of available pathways in each class

The definition of each class is based on probabilities derived from the MAGICC model in a setup identical to AR5 WGIII (Clarke et al., 2014) ¹⁰³⁸, as detailed in Supplementary Material 2.SM.1.4.

PATHWAY GROUP	PATHWAY CLASS	PATHWAY SELECTION CRITERIA AND DESCRIPTION	NUMBER OF SCENARIOS	NUMBER OF SCENARIOS
1.5°C or 1.5°C-consistent**	Below-1.5°C	Pathways limiting peak warming to below 1.5°C during the entire 21st century with 50–66% likelihood ¹⁰³⁹	9	
	1.5°C-low-OS	Pathways limiting median warming to below 1.5°C in 2100 and with a 50–67% probability of temporarily overshooting that level earlier, generally implying less than 0.1°C higher peak warming than Below-1.5°C pathways	44	
	1.5°C-high-OS	Pathways limiting median warming to below 1.5°C in 2100 and with a greater than 67% probability of temporarily overshooting that level earlier, generally implying 0.1–0.4°C higher peak	37	90

15

16

17 **(No business would spend such a significant amount of money (2.8 trillion**
 18 **dollars already spent worldwide) on a project with only a 50-66% chance of**
 19 **success.)** Their probability is actually zero because the average residence time for
 20 atmospheric CO₂ is 150 years. ([IPCC 2003](#))

21

22 Citation

23 “This chapter should be cited as: Rogelj, J., D. Shindell,
 24 K. Jiang, S. Fifita, P. Forster, V. Ginzburg, C. Handa, H. Kheshgi, S. Kobayashi, E. Kriegler, L.
 25 Mundaca, R.
 26 Séférian, and M.V.Vilariño, 2018: Mitigation Pathways Compatible with 1.5°C in the Context
 27 of Sustainable
 28 Development. In: Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global
 29 warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission
 30 pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate
 31 change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty [Masson-Delmotte, V.,
 32 P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P.R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W.

1 Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J.B.R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M.I.
2 Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield (eds.)). In Press" (p. 93)

3 *Use of Unscientific Terms*

4 The document uses the unscientific terms *highly* (or otherwise) *likely* six times, *unlikely* three
5 times, and *highly* (or otherwise) *confident* sixty-two times. In every case, percent probability
6 must be used.

7 Planting Native trees is the only way to lower Atmospheric carbon dioxide to 330 ppm by
8 2031.

9
10 The IPCC follows a false agenda and a false GWP (Global Warming Potential) Calculation,
11 neither of which is based on reality. Their GWP calculation assumes equal greenhouse gas
12 concentrations of methane, nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide and other gases, which will never
13 happen in reality. If we did have equal concentrations of N₂O (laughing gas) for instance, the
14 people in the world would have silly smiles on their faces and high-pitched voices. IPCC
15 Working group I, second order draft (SOD) Annex II the IPCC review team found 14 published
16 manuscripts summarized in a table which show the same data as Dr. Blasings. These were
17 published prior to the GWP and the IPCC ignored them. We put this finding in our review for
18 Working Group 1. They ignored it and deleted the 14 manuscripts! Any model which is not
19 verified by data is a false model. The correct order of greenhouse gases CO₂ then CH₄ then
20 N₂O then NO (highest effect to lowest effect) Dr. TJ Blasing exposed the greenhouse gases
21 with longwave radiation and was thus able to calculate the actual effect.

22 <http://cctruth.org/index.php/ghg/> Methane is 0.5 watts/m². CO₂ is 1.94 watts/m². The media
23 should not believe the IPCC or the UN when it comes to climate change. Dr. Hal Dorian passed
24 away 4/28/20. [His memorial](#). He is one of the NASA scientists who helped write our proposal.
25 We dedicate our [proposal](#) to him.

Gas	Pre-1750 tropospheric concentration ¹	Recent tropospheric concentration ^{2,3}	GWP ⁴ (100-yr time horizon)	Atmospheric lifetime ⁵ (years)	Increased radiative forcing ⁶ (W/m ²)
Concentrations in parts per million (ppm)					
Carbon dioxide (CO ₂)	~280 ⁷	399.5 ^{2,8}	1	~100-300 ⁵	1.94
Concentrations in parts per billion (ppb)					
Methane (CH ₄)	722 ⁹	1834 ²	28	12.4 ⁵	0.50
Nitrous oxide (N ₂ O)	270 ¹⁰	328 ³	265	121 ⁵	0.20
Tropospheric ozone (O ₃)	237 ¹	337 ²	n.a. ³	hours-days	0.40

26
27
28 Planting trees is 100% probability to lower atmospheric carbon
29 dioxide.

30 *Residence Time of Atmospheric CO₂*

31 Residence time is how long a molecule will stay in a location before being released. Like
32 standing water in your kitchen, sink. The water is residing longer. A 2003 IPCC report shows
33 residence time increased from 5 to 200 years. Dr. TJ Blasing shows 100-300 years. In 2016, I
34 emailed Dr. Jim Hansen and two other prominent climate-change scientists that emissions had
35 been flat since 2014, but that atmospheric CO₂ was still increasing and the rate of rise was still
36 increasing. I asked them how this could be happening--if emissions were the cause of
37 atmospheric CO₂ increase. **They said we must wait another 470 years for anything we do
38 with emissions to show an effect.** Anything we do with CO₂ emissions has not and will not
39

1 have any effect on atmospheric CO₂ for hundreds of years. However, the residence time for
 2 atmospheric carbon dioxide is 150 years. This is why everything we have done to lower
 3 emissions of CO₂ has had zero effect on the atmospheric CO₂ rise.

4 https://cctruth.org/residence_time.pdf Below are the constraints I used. Even at average
 5 residence time of 100
 6 years Mauna Loa never stays low.

7 Facts

8 Residence time was 5 years, Now more than 150 years. Recently I sent out a survey email to
 9 400 climate change scientists about atmospheric CO₂ residence time. Most scientists said 200-
 10 400 years. One scientist sent me his research of published papers, which show residence time
 11 from 150 years to 700 years.

Residence Time (Years)	Author	Year
700	Allen	2009
610	Zickfeld	2013
500	Matthews	2008
300	Plattner	2008
270	Cao	2010
230	Zickfeld	2012
220	Solomon	2012
220	Knutti	2012
210	Gillett	2011
180	Frolicher	2010
150	Hare	2006

12 <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2017JD028121>

13 Assumptions


14 Keep current carbon emissions rise at 0.3 gt/yr (current)

15 Reduction in 45% of fossil fuel emissions by 2030 Decreases of carbon emissions will be offset
 16 by increases in population Atmospheric CO₂ stays the same slope. (Not increasing). However,
 17 rate of rise is increasing. Current rate is almost 3 ppm increase per year. At 100 years no more
 18 oil so CO₂ emissions drop by 55% Atmospheric CO₂ lowers to a minimum at year 2650 and then
 19 increases. We never reach equilibrium.

20 **Even at a residence time of 100 years, atmospheric CO₂ never lowers.**

21 **Constraints for this graph. 45% reduction in fossil fuel CO₂ emissions by**
 22 **2030 55% reduction in fossil fuel CO₂ emissions by 2130 due to depletion**
 23 **of those fuels. 2030 45% reduction in the rate of rise of Atmospheric CO₂.**


1 **2130 45% reduction in CO₂ concentration 2230 55% reduction in CO₂**
 2 **concentration and rate.**



3 This is because we have massive loss of photosynthesis consumption.

4 [Globalforestwatch.org/map](https://www.globalforestwatch.org/map)

5
 6 Another way to look at residence time is a signature from past events, which lowered CO₂
 7 emissions. For example, the oil embargo in the 1970's, multiple recessions and the big
 8 worldwide recession in 2009. The current COVID-19 pandemic. These are examples of lowered
 9 worldwide emissions. Below is the current graph of Mauna Loa CO₂. You can clearly see no
 10 signature from these events.



11
 12 On Netflix, please watch "kiss the ground" movie. It clearly explains why we
 13 cannot lower atmospheric CO₂ by working on emissions of CO₂.


14 [Sea Level Rise \(or lack thereof\)](#)

15 <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/sixth-assessment-report-working-group-i/> Twenty Ph. D's and I
 16 uploaded comments on Working Group 1 second order draft for AR6.

17 https://cctruth.org/comments_ar6wg1_sod.xlsx was uploaded 4/30/2020.


18 Sea Level Change data is unreliable. The satellite NOAA uses, (the Jason-3) has a minimum
 19 resolution of 25 mm. They say they are measuring a 3mm rise per year by measuring a
 20 location every 10 days. When we measure anything below minimum resolution, the data
 21 reliability drops exponentially below 50% of the minimum resolution. I put them in the
 22 document review for WG I AR6 for next year. I know the tide gauges tell the truth and show
 23 almost no sea level change. DOI : doi.org/10.33140/JMSRO.02.01.06 Review Article The Views
 24 of Three Sea Level Specialists, Mörner NA,

1 Wismuller T and Parker
 2 A <https://www.opastonline.com/jmsro-volume-2-issue1-year-2019/www.opastonline.com> J
 3 Mari Scie Res Ocean, 2019 Volume 2 | Issue 1 See this [document](#):
 4 A movie called **Climate Hustle II** will come out October 2020 and show this.
 5 <https://www.climatehustle2.com/gallery/>
 6 In addition, the European satellite has a 1 mm minimum resolution and it shows the same sea
 7 level rise as the tide gauges at 1.06 mm/yr



8
 9 The Jakobshavn Glacier in Greenland has grown for the third year in a row. This is the
 10 large one Al Gore and others have falsely said would melt and cause the oceans to rise
 11 15 feet. [https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/145185/major-greenland-glacier-is-](https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/145185/major-greenland-glacier-is-growing)
 12 [growing](#) Tide gauge data:

13 https://sealevel.info/MSL_weighted.php?g_date=1910/1-2019/12&c_date=1910/12019/12&s_date=1910/12019/12&id=154,%20202,%2020155,%2020163,%2020158,%20188,%2012



16 The plot shows the monthly mean sea level without the regular seasonal fluctuations due to coastal ocean temperatures, salinities, winds, atmospheric pressures, and ocean currents. By default, the long-term linear trend is also shown, in red, along with its 95% confidence interval. The plotted values are relative to the most recent Mean Sea Level datum established by NOAA CO-OPS or PSMSL.

1 [Ocean Acidity](#)

2 Ocean acidity (or lack thereof. Tony Heller shows how the ocean acidity is the same as it's
3 always been in this video. [Ocean stupidification](#)

4 [Net Zero](#)

5 The document uses a term *Net Zero* with no definition.

6 We wrote the world's first and only atmospheric CO₂ equilibrium manuscript is peer reviewed
7 and published in worlds top climate change journal by impact factor. [Equilibrium Paper](#)
8 NetzeroCO₂e=8.6gt/yr.

10

11 **Truth about Al Gore**

12 Web search "Club of Rome". This will tell you everything you need to know about the
13 ignorance of Al Gore.

14

15 **The assertion that 97% of scientists agree with the IPCC is wrong! This high consensus was**
16 **touted because the three hundred manuscripts published between 2009 and 2013 were**
17 **chosen for review on the basis of their seeming conformity to a certain point of view.**
18 **Rejected for the review and survey of scientists were the more than seven hundred**
19 **manuscripts written by scientists who had different statistics and conclusions from the ones**
20 **that were wanted. Therefore, the agreeing part is 33%. We are 67%ers.**



21


22 **Discovery: Reduction in**

23 **Photosynthesis Correlation to Atmospheric CO₂ Increase. 65 more**
24 **conferences have invited me to present this. I have not accepted any**
25 **invites because we have no funding.**



26 I sent these statistics to all 220 IPCC scientists by email.

27 Not one of them objected to the statistics. Atmospheric CO₂ is a binary system statistically. The
28 two causes are CO₂ emissions and loss of photosynthesis. Each cause is multi-variate. We have
29 had mostly flat human emissions (0.3 GT/yr vs. 0.6 GT/yr) since 2014. However, atmospheric CO₂
30 is still going up, and the rate of rise is increasing. In 2018, the Rxy correlation coefficient was 0.73
31 and not statistically significant (not cause and effect). In 2019 it is now 0.63 and dropping. The
32 data is [here](#):

1 **Carbon Dioxide Does Not Freeze in the Atmosphere** In the mesosphere, the pressure is 1
 2 millibar. At this pressure, CO₂ freezes at -100°C. The temperature in the mesosphere is -90°C.





3




4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10

This 2010 graph is the only one you will see online. They do not want you to know how emissions of CO₂ have slowed down worldwide.



1
2

Carbon dioxide emissions correlate to 363 ppm and is a contributor, not the cause of the rise.




3
4
5
6
7
8

This tank model is like your kitchen sink. Standing water in the sink is increasing residence time. By this model, we need to shut the input and fix the drain. We cannot shut the input because the “natural” emissions are 20 billion tons/yr. We must increase photosynthesis.


9
10
11
12
13
14
15

The oscillation at Mauna Loa starts as a very strong signal in South America and then fans out larger and larger until Barrow’s Alaska. The countries in South America burn the Amazon Rainforest, the densest forest in the world, from October/ November through May of the next year. Since 1950, an average of 30 million acres per year have been deforested and burned. So much CO₂ has been released that the trees and plants have grown too fast and died. This massive decay is what


1 caused the Amazon Rainforest to switch to an oxygen sink and carbon
 2 dioxide producer.
 3 Hundreds of papers have been published on this.
 4 Currently, the Amazon output is 15 GTyr⁻¹ of CO₂.




Mauna Loa cycles



globalforestwatch.org 390->8.6 gtyr⁻¹




12 The Amazon Rainforest deforestation is a 0.98 cause and effect to the rise of carbon
 13 dioxide since 1957.
 14
 15



1
2
3
4
5

Amazon Rainforest $R_{xy} = -0.99$ The loss of oxygen worldwide is a 0.99 cause and effect to the destruction of 2 billion acres of the Amazon Rainforest since 1950! The correct solution is to stop non-sustainable deforestation of those forests like the Indian and Amazon Rainforests and plant 200 billion native trees and shrubs.




6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

India stopped deforestation and is planting trees!
China is planting billions of trees!
Pakistan planted 1 billion trees in 2018, 2 billion more in 2019, and they will plant 8 billion more in the next four years! Peru stopped deforestation in 2020! Already planting 3 billion trees and the global garden greening atmospheric CO₂ minimum on October 4th was 407.51 ppm. Dr Pieter Tans said it should be 408.6+/- 0.5. For November the rise was -0.45 ppm. (11/1= 411.02, 4/20=410.57), November of 2017 it was 2.7 ppm rise. November 2018 1.85 ppm rise. 8 billion more trees scheduled in the next 4 years. We can easily plant 100 billion trees in the USA and in 10 years will consume an extra 10 billion tons annually.

Recent Daily Average Mauna Loa CO₂

October 07:	408.20 ppm
October 06:	407.82 ppm
October 05:	408.00 ppm
October 04:	407.51 ppm
October 03:	407.53 ppm




17
18
19

Effect of 24+ billion trees planted in the last 48 months.

Recent Daily Average Mauna Loa CO₂

March 13: 414.11 ppm
 March 12: 414.11 ppm
 March 11: 414.37 ppm
 March 10: 413.78 ppm
 March 09: 413.95 ppm
 Last Updated: March 14, 2020



Hourly (red circles) and Daily (yellow circles) averaged CO₂ values from Mauna Loa, Hawaii for the last 31 days.



This drone can plant 40,000 trees per day.

I put in a complaint to Department of Commerce Inspector general about Mauna Loa CO₂ [fraud](#). They started investigating 4/24/20. Please download the [rain-forest](#) stop document and follow it weekly. Over 1000 people have been doing this since last June. To lower atmospheric Carbon dioxide quickly.

- 1. Put pressure on Brazil and other Amazon rain-forest countries to stop deforestation ASAP. Also stop the biomass burning that puts 300 million tons of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere each year. This has caused 50ppm of the recent rise in atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration. Then after 10 years finish burning what is needed at 10% per year for 10 years.**

- 1 2. Provide space where public can come and plant trees and shrubs. All
2 government-owned lands. Very small cost. Need website with
3 document for each planting area.
- 4 3. Plant shrubs in all freeway medians and sides. This is revenue plus in a
5 two-year cycle. Plant native shrubs at a minimal spacing so all light is
6 used in photosynthesis. This will take in 1 ton of CO2 emissions per
7 acre per year right at the source. The space would not need to be
8 mowed every week in the summer.
- 9 4. Get schools involved and planting massive number of trees and shrubs.
10 In their property and the government property as in 1 above.
- 11 5. Parks can add trees and shrubs.
- 12 6. Close any climate change research group. Not needed, unless doing
13 photosynthesis work.
- 14 7. Tax incentive for business to plant trees and shrubs.
- 15 8. Wild fire attention. Get a retainer for the 747 plane and use it from
16 the start on any wild fire.

17 Forest management by “strip logging” which was developed by Oregon State
18 Forestry. This strip 30 to 60 yards wide (depending on the height of the trees)
19 will provide ongoing logging opportunities, making these cuts. The side trees
20 and shrubs will naturally reseed these cuts. These seeds are matched
21 genetically to the local soil and climate. They grow much faster because of
22 this. No reseeded is needed or desired. These cuts make an excellent
23 firebreak.

24 We have an experiment on US 26 eastbound just west of Portland, Oregon. A
25 permit obtained from Oregon Department of Transportation. These sensors
26 are NIST certified and calibrated within one part per million. Graph 9 shows
27 the rate of rise of atmospheric carbon dioxide less than 3 ppm/yr. The blue
28 line represents the difference between the treed area and a non-treed area.
29 Each location has a wind directional measurement. This measurement can
30 confirm bad data from crosswind for example. This experiment proves we
31 can plant native shrubs and trees by roads and freeways instead of grass. This
32 freeway has 161,000 autos per day on it, and approximately 460 auto exit
33 (Sylvan exit 71) per day between the two sensor locations. The final day of
34 testing was 6/12/2021.

35

1 **Procedure:**

2 **Place sensors at 6am daily for two weeks every other month for one**
 3 **year.**

4 **Pick up sensors at 7pm and analyze the data.**

5 **Put SD memory card from sensor into**
 6 **computer. Import the data into an Excel**
 7 **spreadsheet.**

8 **Repeat for other sensor.**

9 **For each 10 seconds subtract the treed area from the non-tree area.**

10 **Sort data for “smallest to largest” from subtraction result.**


11 **Remove negative numbers in the subtraction result.**

12 **The negative numbers are from wind gusts. We tracked this**
 13 **many times.**

14 **Calculate average for the day.**

15 **Repeat.**

16 **Things to note in the graph. At no time did the blue line go below the red line.**
 17 **On December 20th, a very dark and rainy day the difference was 9 ppm. In**
 18 **April through June we had very little rain. The graph shows this as lower**
 19 **difference. For photosynthesis, we need these things, light, vegetation,**
 20 **moisture and carbon dioxide. Experiment Summary: This experiment proves**
 21 **we can plant native trees and shrubs instead of grass and they will eventually**
 22 **consume all the carbon dioxide from the vehicles. This is applicable for $\pm 50^\circ$**
 23 **from the equator.**



25



2

3 **The second year finished on 5/16/2022 with over 4 million more data points.**

4 **This moved the experiment from Theory to Scientific Law!**

5 Native western Oregon plants.

6 Sweet bay

7 Photinia

8 Juniper

9 Knick

10 Leaf holly

11 Red twig Dogwood

12

13 Where to plant

14 Medians Photinia, Sweet bay, Leaf holly, Red twig Dogwood

15 On/Off ramps Photinia, Sweet bay, Juniper, Knick

16

17